



Staff Report

File #: REPORT 16-0574, Version: 1

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Hermosa Beach City Council Regular Meeting of September 27, 2016

LEGISLATIVE UPDATE:
MEASURE 'A'; MEASURE 'M'; PROPOSITIONS 57, 64, AND 67
(Continued from meeting of September 13, 2016)
(Assistant to the City Manager Nico De Anda-Scaia)

Recommended Action:

Staff recommends the City Council consider a position on Los Angeles County Ballot Measures 'A' and 'M', and California State Ballot Propositions 57, 64, and 67, which will appear on the November 2016 Ballot.

Background

The intent of this agenda item is to provide ongoing updates on legislation affecting the City of Hermosa Beach. A brief summary on each legislative item is provided below, along with official positions of the League of California Cities (LCC) and South Bay Council of Governments (SBCCOG), as available. For in-depth background and analysis on a particular item, please refer to the supplemental information attached.

City Council may adopt a position of support, opposition, or take no position on these items either individually or as a whole.

Summaries

MEASURE 'A'

On July 5, 2016, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors authorized Measure 'A', titled the "Los Angeles County Safe, Clean Neighborhood Parks and Beaches Protection Measure of 2016". The Measure continues voter support for local parks, beaches, open space and water resources by authorizing an annual parcel tax of 1.5 cents per square foot of building floor area. Measure A does not have a sunset provision, is expected to generate approximately \$90 million annually and would replace two expiring local funding measures (from Voter-approved Prop A of 1992 and 1993). The local return category is 35%. The expenditure plan provides for every city to receive allocations from 2 of the 7 categories. If eligible, cities can apply for 4 of the remaining categories.

SBCCOG Position: Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

LCC Position: Action deferred for later discussion

Attachments:

1. Measure A Council Update and Expenditure Plan (Continued from 9/13/2016)
2. Ballot Measure Summary

3. Distribution Chart per City

MEASURE 'M'

On August 2, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved placing a sales tax ballot measure (Measure M), titled the "Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan" (Attached), on the November 8, 2016 ballot. Measure M proposes a new ½ cent sales tax starting in 2017 that would increase to a one cent sales tax in 2039, when the existing ½ cent Measure R sales tax expires.

SBCCOG Position: Oppose

LCC Position: No Position

Attachments:

4. Measure M Council Update (Continued from 9/13/2016)
5. Metro Measure M Voter Information Guide
6. Local Return Allocations by Subregion
7. SBCCOG Communications with Metro/Letters of Opposition

PROPOSITION 57

The "California Parole for Non-Violent Criminals and Juvenile Court Trial Requirements Initiative", also known as Proposition 57, will be on the November 8, 2016, ballot as a combined initiated constitutional amendment and state statute. The Proposition would allow parole consideration for felons convicted of non-violent crimes; authorize sentence credits for rehabilitation, good behavior, and education. It would also revise rules governing the disposition of juvenile offenders and provides that a juvenile court judge decide whether a juvenile will be prosecuted as an adult.

Campaign Resources: In Support (vote4prop57.com <<http://vote4prop57.com/>>) ; In Opposition ([stop57.com](http://www.stop57.com) <<http://www.stop57.com/>>)

SBCCOG Position: Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

LCC Position: Action deferred until October 6th Board meeting at Annual Conference

Attachments:

8. Proposition 57 Voter Guide
9. Proposition 57 Details

PROPOSITION 64

The "California Marijuana Legalization Initiative", also known as Proposition 64, will be on the ballot as an initiated state statute, and would legalize personal cultivation as well as state licensing of the commercial cultivation and retail sale of non-medical marijuana for personal use for adults 21 and over. Local agencies would be authorized to enact local ordinances for regulating, taxing or banning the commercial cultivation and sale of non-medical marijuana within the City or County.

SBCCOG Position: Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

LCC Position: No Position

Attachments:

10. Proposition 64 Council Update (Continued from 9/13/2016)
11. League of California Cities Prop 64 Memorandum
12. League of California Cities FAQ Sheet

PROPOSITION 67

The "California Plastic Bag Ban Veto Referendum" would repeal SB 270 (Padilla, 2014), which

prohibited certain stores from distributing lightweight, single-use plastic bags and established requirements for reusable bags, including prohibiting stores from distributing reusable bags and/or recycled paper bags for less than \$0.10 per bag. A “yes” vote is a vote in favor of upholding/ratifying the contested legislation banning plastic bags that was elected by the California State Legislature (SB 270). A “no” vote is a vote in favor of overturning SB 270.

California Secretary of State Information may be accessed [HERE <http://www.sos.ca.gov/campaign-lobbying/cal-access-resources/measure-contributions/referendum-overturn-ban-single-use-plastic-bags/>](http://www.sos.ca.gov/campaign-lobbying/cal-access-resources/measure-contributions/referendum-overturn-ban-single-use-plastic-bags/).

SBCCOG Position: Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

LCC Position: Yes (In Support of retaining plastic bag ban under SB 270)

Attachments:

13.Proposition 67 Voter Guide

14.Surfider Foundation/Heal the Bay - Proposition 67

Respectfully Submitted by: Nico De Anda-Scaia, Assistant to the City Manager

Approved: Tom Bakaly, City Manager