



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** REPORT 16- 0574    **Version:** 1    **Name:**  
**Type:** Action Item    **Status:** Miscellaneous Item - Council  
**File created:** 9/20/2016    **In control:** City Council  
**On agenda:** 9/27/2016    **Final action:**  
**Title:** LEGISLATIVE UPDATES:  
MEASURE 'A'; MEASURE 'M'; PROPOSITIONS 57, 64, AND 67  
(Continued from meeting of September 13, 2016)  
(Assistant to the City Manager Nico De Anda-Scaia)

**Sponsors:**

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. A - Update & Expenditure Plan - Continued 9-13-16, 2. A - Ballot Measure Summary, 3. A - Distribution Chart Per City, 4. M - Council Update 9-13-16, 5. M - Metro Measure M Information Guide, 6. M - Local Return Allocation by Subregion, 7. M - SBCCOG Communication, 8. 57 - Voter Guide, 9. 57 - Proposition Details, 10. 64 - Prop 64 Update 9-13-16, 11. 64 - League CA Cities Memorandum, 12. 64 - League FAQ, 13. 67 - Voter Guide, 14. 67 - Surfrider Foundation-Heal the Bay, 15. SUPPLEMENTAL Letter from Bob Wolfe (added 9-26-16 at 3pm).pdf, 16. SUPPLEMENTAL COG Position on Ballot Items (added 9-26-16 at 3pm).pdf, 17. SUPPLEMENTAL Letter and Attachments from Raunda Frank (added 9-27-16 at 11am).pdf

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

### Honorable Mayor and Members of the Hermosa Beach City Council Regular Meeting of September 27, 2016

**LEGISLATIVE UPDATE:**  
**MEASURE 'A'; MEASURE 'M'; PROPOSITIONS 57, 64, AND 67**  
***(Continued from meeting of September 13, 2016)***  
(Assistant to the City Manager Nico De Anda-Scaia)

#### **Recommended Action:**

Staff recommends the City Council consider a position on Los Angeles County Ballot Measures 'A' and 'M', and California State Ballot Propositions 57, 64, and 67, which will appear on the November 2016 Ballot.

#### **Background**

The intent of this agenda item is to provide ongoing updates on legislation affecting the City of Hermosa Beach. A brief summary on each legislative item is provided below, along with official positions of the League of California Cities (LCC) and South Bay Council of Governments (SBCCOG), as available. For in-depth background and analysis on a particular item, please refer to the supplemental information attached.

City Council may adopt a position of support, opposition, or take no position on these items either

individually or as a whole.

## **Summaries**

### **MEASURE 'A'**

On July 5, 2016, the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors authorized Measure 'A', titled the "Los Angeles County Safe, Clean Neighborhood Parks and Beaches Protection Measure of 2016". The Measure continues voter support for local parks, beaches, open space and water resources by authorizing an annual parcel tax of 1.5 cents per square foot of building floor area. Measure A does not have a sunset provision, is expected to generate approximately \$90 million annually and would replace two expiring local funding measures (from Voter-approved Prop A of 1992 and 1993). The local return category is 35%. The expenditure plan provides for every city to receive allocations from 2 of the 7 categories. If eligible, cities can apply for 4 of the remaining categories.

**SBCCOG Position:** Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

**LCC Position:** Action deferred for later discussion

#### **Attachments:**

1. Measure A Council Update and Expenditure Plan (Continued from 9/13/2016)
2. Ballot Measure Summary
3. Distribution Chart per City

### **MEASURE 'M'**

On August 2, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved placing a sales tax ballot measure (Measure M), titled the "Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan" (Attached), on the November 8, 2016 ballot. Measure M proposes a new ½ cent sales tax starting in 2017 that would increase to a one cent sales tax in 2039, when the existing ½ cent Measure R sales tax expires.

**SBCCOG Position:** Oppose

**LCC Position:** No Position

#### **Attachments:**

4. Measure M Council Update (Continued from 9/13/2016)
5. Metro Measure M Voter Information Guide
6. Local Return Allocations by Subregion
7. SBCCOG Communications with Metro/Letters of Opposition

### **PROPOSITION 57**

The "California Parole for Non-Violent Criminals and Juvenile Court Trial Requirements Initiative", also known as Proposition 57, will be on the November 8, 2016, ballot as a combined initiated constitutional amendment and state statute. The Proposition would allow parole consideration for felons convicted of non-violent crimes; authorize sentence credits for rehabilitation, good behavior, and education. It would also revise rules governing the disposition of juvenile offenders and provides that a juvenile court judge decide whether a juvenile will be prosecuted as an adult.

Campaign Resources: In Support ([vote4prop57.com](http://vote4prop57.com) <<http://vote4prop57.com/>>) ; In Opposition ([stop57.com](http://www.stop57.com) <<http://www.stop57.com/>>)

**SBCCOG Position:** Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

**LCC Position:** Action deferred until October 6<sup>th</sup> Board meeting at Annual Conference

#### **Attachments:**

8. Proposition 57 Voter Guide

## 9. Proposition 57 Details

### **PROPOSITION 64**

The “California Marijuana Legalization Initiative”, also known as Proposition 64, will be on the ballot as an initiated state statute, and would legalize personal cultivation as well as state licensing of the commercial cultivation and retail sale of non-medical marijuana for personal use for adults 21 and over. Local agencies would be authorized to enact local ordinances for regulating, taxing or banning the commercial cultivation and sale of non-medical marijuana within the City or County.

**SBCCOG Position:** Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

**LCC Position:** No Position

**Attachments:**

10. Proposition 64 Council Update (Continued from 9/13/2016)

11. League of California Cities Prop 64 Memorandum

12. League of California Cities FAQ Sheet

### **PROPOSITION 67**

The “California Plastic Bag Ban Veto Referendum” would repeal SB 270 (Padilla, 2014), which prohibited certain stores from distributing lightweight, single-use plastic bags and established requirements for reusable bags, including prohibiting stores from distributing reusable bags and/or recycled paper bags for less than \$0.10 per bag. A “yes” vote is a vote in favor of upholding/ratifying the contested legislation banning plastic bags that was elected by the California State Legislature (SB 270). A “no” vote is a vote in favor of overturning SB 270.

California Secretary of State Information may be accessed [HERE <http://www.sos.ca.gov/campaign-lobbying/cal-access-resources/measure-contributions/referendum-overturn-ban-single-use-plastic-bags/>](http://www.sos.ca.gov/campaign-lobbying/cal-access-resources/measure-contributions/referendum-overturn-ban-single-use-plastic-bags/).

**SBCCOG Position:** Not available at time of report (Board vote on 9/22/16)

**LCC Position:** Yes (In Support of retaining plastic bag ban under SB 270)

**Attachments:**

13. Proposition 67 Voter Guide

14. Surfer Foundation/Heal the Bay - Proposition 67

**Respectfully Submitted by:** Nico De Anda-Scaia, Assistant to the City Manager

**Approved:** Tom Bakaly, City Manager