# South Bay Cities Council of Governments

August 10, 2020

TO: SBCCOG Steering Committee

FROM: Jacki Bacharach, SBCCOG Board of Directors

RE: Bills to Monitor and for Action – Status as of August 7, 2020

Adherence to Strategic Plan:

Goal B: Regional Advocacy. Advocate for the interests of the South Bay

**ACTION:** RECONSIDER POSITION ON AB 570 – OPPOSITION LETTER <u>ATTACHED</u>

### **FINANCE**

| SB 795  | Economic development: housing: workforce development: climate      | SUPPORT         | Assembly Appropriations |
|---------|--|-----------------|-------------------------|
| (Beall) | change infrastructure. Would on appropriation by the Legislature,  | (5/28/20)       | Committee               |
|         | would make up to \$2,000,000,000 available in each fiscal year for |                 | Hearing Date: 8/11/20   |
|         | the purpose of providing emergency economic recovery and           | (Ltr to Sen     |                         |
|         | development, climate change, and disaster response. Of that        | Approp. 6/4/20) |                         |
|         | amount, would require the Controller to allocate for each of those |                 |                         |
|         | fiscal years \$1,805,000,000 among various housing programs        | SUPPORT         |                         |
|         | administered by the Department of Housing and Community            | (2/27/20)       |                         |
|         | Development, the Homeless Housing, Assistance, and Prevention      |                 |                         |
|         | program, and for distribution by the California Workforce          |                 |                         |
|         | Development Board among local agencies to participate in, invest   |                 |                         |
|         | in, or partner with new or existing preapprenticeship training     |                 |                         |
|         | programs established as described above. Would require the         |                 |                         |
|         | Business, Consumer Services, and Housing Agency to establish       |                 |                         |
|         | deadlines for applications and submitting final reports under the  |                 |                         |
|         | Homeless Housing, Assistance, and Prevention program with          |                 |                         |
|         | respect to moneys allocated to programs under the bill.            |                 |                         |

| AB 725  | General plans: housing element: moderate-income and above                | OPPOSE      | 8/6/20                |
|---------|--|-------------|-----------------------|
| (Wicks) | moderate-income housing: suburban and metropolitan                       | (7/23/20)   | Senate Appropriations |
|         | jurisdictions. The purpose of this bill is to facilitate the development |             | Committee             |
|         | of more medium density housing at moderate and above-moderate            | (Ltr to Sen |                       |
|         | incomes, akin to the requirement for very low- and low-income            | Housing     |                       |
|         | housing. It requires at least 25% of the jurisdiction's share of the     | 7/27/20)    |                       |
|         | regional housing need for both moderate-income and above                 | ,           |                       |
|         | moderate-income housing be allocated to sites with zoning that           |             |                       |

|                       | allows at least four units of housing, Such density would enable the production of medium-density housing on these sites that typically are subject to more restrictive zoning currently. For a typical jurisdiction, this bill would increase the minimum percentage of land zoned for multi-family housing from approximately 40% to 55%.   |   |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| AB 1851<br>(Wicks)    | Religious institution affiliated housing development projects: parking requirements. Allows a religious institution to develop an affordable housing project at a place of worship owned by the religious institution even if the development requires the religious institution to reduce the number of religious-use parking spaces available at the place of worship. Would authorize a local agency to require up to one parking space per unit for a religious institution affiliated housing development project.   | OPPOSE<br>(7/23/20)<br>(Ltr to Sen Gov<br>& Fin 7/27/20)                            | 8/6/20<br>Senate Appropriations<br>Committee     |
| AB 2168<br>(McCarty)  | Planning and zoning: electric vehicle charging stations: permit application: approval - would require an application to install an electric vehicle charging station to be deemed complete if, 5 business days after the application was submitted, the building official of the city, county, or city and county has not deemed the application complete, as specified, and if the building official has not issued a one-written correction notice, as specified. The bill would require an application to install an electric vehicle charging station to be deemed approved if 15 business days after the application was deemed complete certain conditions are met, including that the building official of the city, county, or city and county has not approved the application, as specified, and the building official has not made findings that the proposed installation could have an adverse impact, as described above, and required the applicant to apply for a use permit. | OPPOSE (7/23/20)  Still in first house  (Ltr to Asm Local Gov 7/27/20)  LCC opposes | 5/5/20<br>Assembly Local<br>Government Committee |
| AB 2345<br>(Gonzalez) | Planning and zoning: density bonuses: annual report: affordable housing Revises Density Bonus Law to increase the maximum allowable density and the number of concessions and incentives a developer can seek.  | OPPOSE<br>(7/23/20)<br>(Ltr to Sen<br>Housing<br>7/27/20)                           | 8/6/20<br>Senate Appropriations<br>Committee     |
| AB 3107<br>(Bloom)    | Planning and zoning: commercial zoning: housing development. Notwithstanding any inconsistent provision of a city's or county's general plan, specific plan, zoning ordinance, or regulation, would require that a housing development be an authorized use on a site   | OPPOSE<br>(5/28/20)   | 7/21/20<br>Senate Housing Committee              |

|   | designated in any local agency's zoning code for commercial uses if certain conditions apply. Among these conditions, the bill would | (Ltr to Asm<br>Approp 6/4/20) |                         |
|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
|   | require that the housing development be subject to a recorded  | Applop 0/4/20)                |                         |
|   | deed restriction requiring that at least 20% of the units have an  |                               |                         |
|   | affordable housing cost or affordable rent for lower income  |                               |                         |
|   | households, as those terms are defined, and located on a site that   |                               |                         |
|   | satisfies specified criteria. The bill would require the city or county  |                               |                         |
|   | to apply certain height, density, and floor area ratio standards to a  |                               |                         |
|   | housing development that meets these criteria. Would deem a  |                               |                         |
|   | housing development consistent, compliant, and in conformity with  |                               |                         |
|   | local development standards, zoning codes, and general plan if it  |                               |                         |
|   | meets the requirements of the bill. Would require a jurisdiction to  |                               |                         |
|   | comply with these requirements only until it has completed the rezoning, required as described above, for the 6th revision of its    |                               |                         |
|   | housing element. Would repeal these provisions January 1, 2030.  |                               |                         |
| SB 902                                  | Planning and zoning: housing development: density. Would   | OPPOSE                        | Assembly Local          |
| (Wiener)                                | authorize a local government to pass an ordinance,   | (5/28/20)                     | Government Committee    |
| (************************************** | notwithstanding any local restrictions on adopting zoning  | (4/23/20)                     | Hearing Date: 8/11/20   |
|   | ordinances, to zone any parcel for up to 10 units of residential   | ,                             | 3                       |
|   | density per parcel, at a height specified by the local government in   | (Ltr to Sen                   |                         |
|   | the ordinance, if the parcel is located in a transit-rich area, a jobs-  | Approp. 6/4/20)               |                         |
|   | rich area, or an urban infill site, as those terms are defined. Would  |                               |                         |
|   | require the Department of Housing and Community Development,   | (Ltr sent to                  |                         |
|   | in consultation with the Office of Planning and Research, to   | Sen.Housing                   |                         |
|   | determine jobs-rich areas and publish a map of those areas every   | 5/4/20)                       |                         |
|   | 5 years, commencing January 1, 2022, based on specified criteria.  |                               |                         |
|   | Would specify that an ordinance adopted under these provisions is  |                               |                         |
| SB 995                                  | not a project for purposes of California Environmental Quality Act.  | MONITOR                       | 8/6/20                  |
| (Atkins)                                | Environmental Quality: Jobs and Economic Improvement Through Environmental Leadership Act of 2011: housing projects - would          | IVIONITOR                     | Assembly Appropriations |
| (AIKIIIS)                               | require a lead agency to prepare a master EIR for a general plan,  |                               | Committee               |
|   | plan amendment, plan element, or specified plan for housing  |                               | Committee               |
|   | projects where the state has provided funding for the preparation  |                               |                         |
|   | of the master EIR.   |                               |                         |
| SB 1085                                 | AMENDED: Density Bonus Law: qualifications for incentives or   | OPPOSE                        | Assembly Appropriations |
| (Skinner)                               | concessions: student housing for lower income students:  | (7/23/20)                     | Committee               |
|   | moderate-income persons and families: local government   |                               | Hearing Date: 8/11/20   |
|   | constraints - would require a city or county to grant that   | (Ltr to Asm                   |                         |
|   | density bonus and those incentives or concessions if the   | Housing Comm.                 |                         |
|   | developer agrees to construct a housing development that   | Dev. 7/27/20)                 |                         |

|                         | will contain that specified percentage of units for persons and families of low or moderate income, as specified.   |  |   |
|-------------------------|---|--|---|
| SB 1120<br>(Atkins)     | Subdivisions: tentative maps Would require a proposed housing development containing 2 residential units to be considered ministerially, without discretionary review or hearing, within a single family residential zone, if the proposed housing development meets certain requirements, including but not limited to, that the proposed housing development would not require demolition or alteration of housing that is subject to a recorded covenant, ordinance, or law that restricts rents to levels affordable to persons and families of moderate, low, or very low income, that the proposed housing development does not allow for the demolition of more than 25% of the existing exterior structural walls, except as provided, and that the development is not located within a historic district, is not included on the State Historic Resources Inventory, or is not within a site that is legally designated or listed as a city or county landmark or historic property or district. | OPPOSE<br>(6/8/20)<br>(Ltr to Sen<br>Approp Comm<br>6/8/20)          | Assembly Local<br>Government Committee<br>Hearing Date: 8/11/20 |
| SB 1138<br>(Wiener)     | Housing element: emergency shelters: rezoning of sites - requires localities that fail to adopt a legally compliant housing element within 120 days of the statutory deadline, to complete a rezone program within one year instead of the current three-year requirement.  | OPPOSE<br>(7/23/20)<br>(Ltr to Asm<br>Housing Comm.<br>Dev. 7/27/20) | Assembly Appropriations<br>Committee<br>Hearing Date: 8/11/20   |
| SB 1299<br>(Portantino) | Housing Development. Incentives. Rezoning of Idle Retail Sites. Would, upon appropriation by the Legislature, require HCD to administer a program to provide incentives in the form of grants allocated as provided to local governments that rezone idle sites used for a big box retailer or a commercial shopping center to instead allow the development of workforce housing. It provides for 7 years of property tax based on commercial status if changed to housing.  | SUPPORT<br>(5/28/20)<br>(Ltr to Sen<br>Approp. 6/4/20)               | 8/3/20<br>Assembly 2 <sup>nd</sup><br>Appropriations Committee  |
| SB 1385<br>(Caballero)  | Local Planning. Housing in Commercial Zones. This measure, the Neighborhood Homes Act, would deem a housing development project, as defined, an authorized use on a neighborhood lot that is zoned for office or retail commercial use under a local agency's zoning code or general plan. Would require the density for a housing development under these provisions to meet or exceed   | MONITOR  | Assembly Local<br>Government Committee<br>Hearing Date: 8/11/20 |

| the density deemed appropriate to accommodate housing for lower  |  |
|--|--|
| income households according to the type of local jurisdiction,   |  |
| including a density of at least 20 units per acre for a suburban |  |
| jurisdiction.  |  |

### **PUBLIC SAFETY**

| AB 1314<br>(McCarty) | Law enforcement use of force settlements and judgements: reporting: Would require municipalities, as defined, to annually post on their internet websites specified information relating to use of force settlements and judgements, including amounts paid, broken down by individual settlement and judgment, information on bonds used to finance use of force settlement and judgment | OPPOSE<br>(7/23/20)<br>(Ltr to Sen. Pub<br>Safety 7/27/20) | 7/2/20<br>Senate Public Safety<br>Committee |
|----------------------|---|--|---|
|                      | ,   | Safety 7/27/20)  |   |

### **TELECOMMUNICATIONS**

| AB 570<br>(Aguiar-<br>Curry) &<br>Muratsuchi | Communications: broadband services: California Advanced Services Fund. Current law specifies that moneys in the Broadband Public Housing Account are available for the Public Utilities Commission to award grants and loans to an eligible publicly supported community to finance a project to connect broadband network to that publicly supported community. Current law requires moneys in the Broadband Public Housing Account that have not been awarded by   | SUPPORT<br>(7/23/20)<br>(Ltr to Sen<br>Energy, Util. &<br>Comm 7/27/20) | Senate Appropriations Committee  to Sen gy, Util. & n 7/27/20)  OMMEND ONSIDER- ON OF |
|--|--|---|---|
|  | December 31, 2020, be transferred back to the Broadband Infrastructure Grant Account. This bill would revise the goal of the program to provide that its goal is to approve funding by an unspecified date, for infrastructure projects that will provide broadband access to no less than 98% of California households in each consortia region, as identified by the commission on or before January 1, 2017. The bill would establish the State Agency Direct Allocation Account in the CASF and would specify that the moneys in the account, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for various purposes, | RECOMMEND<br>RECONSIDER-<br>ATION OF<br>POSITION                        |   |

|                       | including upgrades to low-income census blocks to enable distance learning and telehealth and telemedicine.  |  |   |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| SB 1130<br>(Gonzalez) | Telecommunications: California Advanced Services Fund. Would require the Public Utilities Commission to develop, implement, and administer the California Advanced Services Fund program to encourage deployment of 21st century-ready communications, as provided. Would provide that the goal of the program is to, no later than December 31, 2024, approve funding for infrastructure projects that will provide high-capacity, future-proof infrastructure, as defined, based on current engineering and scientific information at the time of program application, as provided. Would require the commission, in approving infrastructure projects, to approve projects with a goal of providing high-capacity, future-proof infrastructure to households that are unserved areas, as defined, or unserved high-poverty areas, as defined. | SUPPORT<br>(7/23/20)  LCC supports  (Ltr to Asm<br>Communication<br>7/27/20) | Assembly Appropriations<br>Committee<br>Hearing Date: 8/11/20 |

## **FEDERAL**

| F | HR 530 | Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Empowering           | SUPPORT &   | 1/25/19              |
|---|--------|--|-------------|----------------------|
| ( | Eshoo) | Local Communities Act of 2019. Overturns the FCC's September       | REQUEST CO- | House Energy &       |
|   |        | order preempting local authority over small cell wireless          | SPONSORS    | Commerce Committee - |
|   |        | infrastructure on January 14, the day the order took effect. Would | (2/11/19)   | Subcommittee on      |

|                         | not preclude future FCC or congressional preemption of cities on wireless infrastructure, but it would halt the FCC's harmful preemption order, which ignored the input of hundreds of local governments. The bill also complements ongoing efforts to overturn the FCC order in federal courts, and the investigation by congressional leaders into alleged attempts by the FCC to thwart that litigation.  | Endorsed by<br>NLC, NATOA,<br>NAC  | Communications & Technology   |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|
| HR 763<br>(Deutsch)     | Energy Innovation & Carbon Dividend Act. Imposes a fee on the carbon content of fuels, including crude oil, natural gas, coal, or any other product derived from those fuels that will be used so as to emit greenhouse gases into the atmosphere.  The fee is imposed on the producers or importers of the fuels and is equal to the greenhouse gas content of the fuel multiplied by the carbon fee rate. The rate begins at \$15 in 2019, increases by \$10 each year, and is subject to further adjustments based on the progress in meeting specified emissions reduction targets. Imposes a specified fee on fluorinated greenhouse gases. | SUPPORT<br>(6/8/20)<br>(Ltr to House<br>Energy and<br>Commerce<br>6/18/20) | 1/25/19 House Ways & Means, Energy & Commerce, Foreign Affairs – referred to Subcommittee on Energy |
| HR 1507<br>(Blumenauer) | The Bicycle Commuter Act of 2019. Official summary in progress. Would allow cyclists to deduct more than \$50 per month and write off bike-share memberships.  | MONITOR  | 3/5/19<br>House Ways and Means<br>Committee   |

#### 2020 Legislative Session Calendar

#### DATES IN QUESTION:

Aug. 7 Last day for policy committees to meet and report bills

Aug. 14 Last day for fiscal committees to meet and report bills

Aug. 17-31 Floor session only. No committee may meet for any purpose except Rules Committee, bills referred pursuant to

Assembly Rule 77.2, and Conference Committees

Aug. 21 Last day to amend bills on the floor

Aug. 31 Last day for each house to pass bills

Final Recess begins upon adjournment

Sept. 30 Last day for Governor to sign or veto bills passed by the Legislature before Sept. 1 & in the Governor's possession on or after Sept. 1 Oct. 1 Bills enacted on or before this date take effect January 1, 2021.

Nov. 3 General Election.

Nov. 30 Adjournment sine die at midnight

Dec. 7 2021-22 Regular Session convenes for Organizational Session at 12 noon.