DRAFT ENHANCED WATERSHED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (EWMP)

for the Beach Cities Watershed Management Area (Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel Watersheds)



Submitted to: Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board

> Submitted by: Beach Cities EWMP Group

> > June 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Ez | kecutiv | e Summary | ES-1 |
|----------------|------------------------|--|-------|
| | Purpose and Objectives | | |
| | Santa | Monica Bay Watershed | ES-6 |
| | Domin | guez Channel Watershed | ES-15 |
| | Compl | iance Schedule | ES-24 |
| | Planni | ng Level Cost Opinion | ES-28 |
| | Financ | ring Discussion | ES-30 |
| 1 Introduction | | | 1-1 |
| | 1.1 | Purpose and Regulatory Framework | 1-1 |
| | 1.2 | Applicability of EWMP | 1-4 |
| | 1.3 | EWMP Development Process | 1-6 |
| | 1.4 | Report Organization | 1-6 |
| | 1.5 | Terms of Reference | 1-7 |
| 2 | Sant | ta Monica Bay Watershed | 2-1 |
| | 2.1 | Background | 2-1 |
| | 2.2 | Identification of Water Quality Priorities | 2-4 |
| | 2.3 | Selection of Appropriate Best Management Practices | 2-15 |
| | 2.4 | Reasonable Assurance Analysis Approach | 2-19 |
| | 2.5 | Baseline Loads and Target Load Reductions | |
| | 2.6 | Best Management Practices | 2-34 |
| | 2.7 | Reasonable Assurance Analysis Results | 2-65 |
| | 2.8 | Multiple Benefits | 2-68 |
| | 2.9 | Parallel Compliance Efforts | 2-69 |
| 3 | Don | ninguez Channel Watershed | 3-1 |
| | 3.1 | Background | 3-1 |
| | 3.2 | Identification of Water Quality Priorities | 3-4 |
| | 3.3 | Selection of Appropriate Best Management Practices | |
| | 3.4 | Reasonable Assurance Analysis Approach | |
| | 3.5 | Baseline Loads and Target Load Reductions | |
| | 3.6 | Best Management Practices | |
| | 3.7 | Reasonable Assurance Analysis Results | |

DRAFT Beach Cities EWMP | Table of Contents

| | 3.8 | Multiple Benefits | | | |
|---------------------------|------|--|------|--|--|
| | 3.9 | Parallel Compliance Efforts | | | |
| 4 Implementation Schedule | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Compliance Schedule | 4-1 | | |
| | 4.2 | Project Sequencing | 4-5 | | |
| 5 | Ass | essment and Adaptive Management Framework | 5-1 | | |
| 6 | Fina | ancial Analysis | 6-1 | | |
| | 6.1 | BMP Cost Methodology and Assumptions | 6-1 | | |
| | 6.2 | Proposed Structural BMPs | 6-3 | | |
| 7 | Pot | ential Funding Sources and Financial Strategy | 7-1 | | |
| | 7.1 | Grant Opportunities | 7-1 | | |
| | 7.2 | Project-Specific Interagency Partnerships | 7-4 | | |
| | 7.3 | Local Bond Issuance | 7-4 | | |
| | 7.4 | State Revolving Funds | 7-5 | | |
| | 7.5 | Local Public Funding Opportunities and Approval Procedures | 7-6 | | |
| | 7.6 | Public Private Partnerships | 7-9 | | |
| | 7.7 | Financial Strategy | 7-11 | | |
| 8 | Leg | al Authority | 8-1 | | |
| 9 | Ref | eferences | | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure ES-1. Beach Cities EWMP Area | ES-5 |
|---|--------|
| Figure ES-2. Analysis Regions and Compliance Monitoring Locations within the SMB Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area | ES-8 |
| Figure ES-3. Proposed Project Sequencing in the Santa Monica Bay Watershed | ES-15 |
| Figure ES-4. Analysis Regions within the Dominguez Channel Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area | ES-18 |
| Figure ES-5 Project Sequencing in the Dominguez Channel Watershed | ES-24 |
| Figure 1-1. Beach Cities EWMP Area | 1-5 |
| Figure 2-1. Beach Cities WMG MS4 Infrastructure within the Santa Monica Bay Watershed | 2-2 |
| Figure 2-2. Beach Cities WMG Land Uses within the Santa Monica Bay Watershed | 2-3 |
| Figure 2-3. Process for Categorizing Water Body-Pollutant Combinations | 2-9 |
| Figure 2-4. Non-Stormwater Outfall Screening Program | . 2-18 |
| Figure 2-5. Analysis Regions and Monitoring Locations within the SMB Watershed portion of the Beach Cities FWMP Area | 2-21 |
| Figure 2-6 SRPAT Model Data Flow | 2-23 |
| Figure 2-7 SBPAT Monte Carlo Method Components | . 2 23 |
| Figure 2-8. SBPAT Rain and Stream Gauges | . 2-25 |
| Figure 2-9. Annual Runoff Volumes for Topanga Creek Subwatershed: Modeled vs. Observed, 2001-2012 | . 2-28 |
| Figure 2-10. Correlation between Modeled Fecal Coliform Loads and Observed Exceedance Days (each point represents one TMDL year, 2005-2013) | . 2-30 |
| Figure 2-11. IGP and Caltrans Area within the Santa Monica Bay portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area | . 2-50 |
| Figure 2-12. Existing and Proposed Regional BMPs within EWMP Area | . 2-51 |
| Figure 2-13. Existing and Proposed Distributed BMP Locations within the EWMP Area | . 2-52 |
| Figure 2-14. Proposed Regional Projects, Analysis Region SMB-5-02 | . 2-59 |
| Figure 2-15. Proposed Regional Projects, Analysis Region SMB-6-01 | . 2-61 |
| Figure 3-1. Beach Cities WMG MS4 Infrastructure within the Dominguez Channel Watershed | 3-2 |
| Figure 3-2. Beach Cities WMG Land Uses within the Dominguez Channel Watershed | 3-3 |
| Figure 3-3. Analysis Regions within the Dominguez Channel Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area | . 3-15 |
| Figure 3-4. Annual Runoff Volumes Predicted by LSPC and SBPAT | . 3-20 |
| Figure 3-5. Comparison of Fecal Coliform High Density Residential EMC Values between SCCWRP Measurements (n=7) and Multi-Family Residential EMC distribution in SBPAT | . 3-21 |

| Figure 3-6. Comparison of Total Zinc Multi Family Residential EMC Values between Los | |
|--|--------|
| Angeles County Measurements (n=4) and Multi-Family Residential EMC distribution in | |
| SBPAT | . 3-22 |
| Figure 3-7. IGP and Caltrans Area within the Dominguez Channel portion of the Beach Cities | |
| EWMP Area | . 3-31 |
| Figure 3-8. Proposed Distributed BMPs within the Dominguez Channel Watershed | . 3-32 |
| Figure 3-9. Proposed Regional BMPs within the Dominguez Channel Watershed | . 3-33 |
| Figure 3-10. Proposed Regional BMPs, DC-RB/MB Analysis Region | . 3-36 |
| Figure 4-1. Proposed Project Sequencing | 4-6 |
| Figure 5-1. Adaptive Management Approach | 5-3 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table ES-1. Beach Cities WMG Area Distribution by Participating Agency | ES-4 |
|--|--------|
| Table ES-2. Water Body-Pollutant Combination Prioritization for the Santa Monica Bay | |
| Watershed | ES-6 |
| Table ES-3. Water Quality Targets for the Santa Monica Bay Watershed | ES-7 |
| Table ES-4. TLRs for Fecal Coliform in the Santa Monica Bay Watershed | ES-9 |
| Table ES-5. Proposed Structural BMPs in the Santa Monica Bay Watershed | ES-11 |
| Table ES-6. Santa Monica Bay Watershed – Fecal Coliform Reasonable Assurance Analysis Results – Interim and Final Compliance | ES-14 |
| Table ES-7. Water Body-Pollutant Prioritization for the Dominguez Channel Watershed | ES-15 |
| Table ES-8. Water Quality Targets for the Dominguez Channel Watershed | ES-16 |
| Table ES-9. TLRs for the Dominguez Channel Watershed | ES-19 |
| Table ES-10. Proposed Structural BMPs in the Dominguez Channel Watershed | ES-20 |
| Table ES-11. Dominguez Channel Watershed – Reasonable Assurance Analysis Results – Interim and Final Compliance | ES-23 |
| Table ES-12. Compliance Schedule for the Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel Watersheds | ES-25 |
| Table ES-13. Cost Opinion for Proposed Structural BMPs in Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel Watersheds | ES-29 |
| Table 2-1. Beach Cities WMG EWMP Area Distribution by Participating Agency | 2-1 |
| Table 2-2. Beach Cities EWMP Area - Santa Monica Bay Watershed Water Bodies and Beneficial Uses | 2-6 |
| Table 2-3. Water Body-Pollutant Prioritization for the Santa Monica Bay Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area | . 2-10 |
| Table 2-4. Rainfall Summary at Manhattan Beach Precipitation Gauge (Station ID 1070) | . 2-26 |
| Table 2-5. BMPS and Constituents Modeled in SBPAT ¹ | . 2-27 |
| Table 2-6. TLRs for Fecal Coliform for each Modeled Analysis Region in Santa Monica Bay Watershed - TMDL Year 1995 | . 2-33 |
| Table 2-7. MCM Modifications and Agency-Specific Enhancements for Beach Cities EWMP Area | . 2-37 |
| Table 2-8. Estimated Annual Redevelopment Rates | . 2-45 |
| Table 2-9. Redevelopment and Public Retrofit Incentives Model Assumptions | . 2-48 |
| Table 2-10. Non-MS4 Parcels – Modeled as Treated by Treatment Plants (i.e., BMPs that will | |
| treat stormwater to the Water Quality Objectives) | . 2-49 |
| Table 2-11. Parameters and Performance for Existing Regional BMPs Modeled as Infiltration Basins | . 2-56 |

| Table 2-12. Parameters and Performance for Existing Regional BMPs Modeled as Wet Ponds | |
|--|------|
| with Extended Detention | 2-57 |
| Table 2-13. Parameters and Performance for Proposed Regional BMPs Modeled as Infiltration Basins | 2-62 |
| Table 2-14. Existing and Proposed Distributed BMPs | 2-64 |
| Table 2-15. Existing and Proposed Distributed BMP Performance | 2-65 |
| Table 2-16. Santa Monica Bay Watershed – Fecal Coliform RAA Results – Interim and Final Compliance | 2-66 |
| Table 2-17. Dry Weather RAA Evaluation of Santa Monica Bay Watershed CMLs | 2-68 |
| Table 3-1. Beach Cities WMG Area Distribution by Participating Agency | 3-1 |
| Table 3-2. Beach Cities EWMP Area – Dominguez Channel Watershed Water Bodies and Beneficial Uses | 3-4 |
| Table 3-3. LACDPW Monitoring Results Summary | 3-6 |
| Table 3-4. Water Body-Pollutant Prioritization for the Dominguez Channel Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area | 3-8 |
| Table 3-5. RAA Models Used in the Dominguez Channel Watershed | 3-16 |
| Table 3-6. Wet Weather Permit Limits (Final Compliance Limits for Modeled Pollutants) | 3-17 |
| Table 3-7. Mean Annual Volume Predicted by SBPAT and LSPC and Measured at the S28 Stream Gauge | 3-19 |
| Table 3-8. Baseline Loads for Pollutants in the Dominguez Channel Watershed for the Critical Condition | 3-23 |
| Table 3-9. Target Load Reductions for Pollutants in the Dominguez Channel Watershed for the Critical Condition | 3-26 |
| Table 3-10. Estimated Annual Redevelopment Rates | 3-28 |
| Table 3-11. Parameters and Performance for Proposed Regional BMPs Modeled as Media Filters | 3-37 |
| Table 3-12. Dominguez Channel Watershed – RAA Results – Interim and Final Compliance | 3-42 |
| Table 4-1. Compliance Deadlines associated with Santa Monica Bay Watershed WBPCs | 4-1 |
| Table 4-2. Implementation Actions and Dates associated with Dominguez Channel Watershed WBPCs | 4-3 |
| Table 6-1. Range of Soft Costs for Proposed Structural BMP Projects as a Percent of Capital | 6-2 |
| Table 6-2. Proposed BMP Design Assumptions for Conceptual Cost Opinions | 6-4 |
| Table 6-3. Estimated Construction and O&M Costs for Structural BMPs in Analysis Region SMB-5-02, Alternative 1 | 6-7 |
| Table 6-4. Estimated Construction and O&M Costs for Structural BMPs in Analysis Region SMB-5-02, Alternative 2 | 6-8 |
| Table 6-5. Estimated Construction and O&M Costs for Structural BMPs in Analysis Region SMB-6-01 | 6-10 |

| Table 6-6. Estimated Construction and O&M Costs for Structural BMPs in Analysis Region DC-RB/MB ¹ 6-7 | -12 |
|--|-----|
| Table 6-7. Estimated Construction and O&M Costs for Structural BMPs in Analysis Region | |
| DC-Torrance | -13 |
| Table 6-8. Estimated Construction and O&M Costs for Catch Basin Retrofits | -14 |
| Table 6-9. Capital, O&M, and 20-year Life-Cycle Cost Opinion for Proposed Structural BMPs by Analysis Region 6 | -18 |
| Table 7-1. Relevant Grant Opportunities listed in the 2015 Funding Fairs Handbook | |
| (California Financing Coordinating Committee [CFCC], 2015) | 7-2 |
| Table 7-2. Added Benefits of Interagency Partnership for Stormwater Management | 7-4 |
| Table 7-3. Local Funding Opportunities | 7-7 |
| Table 7-4. Local Funding Approval Mechanisms | 7-8 |
| Table 7-5. Selected Cities that provide Financial Subsidies to encourage the Development of | |
| Stormwater Infrastructure in Private Properties | -10 |
| Table 7-6. Funding Approach Summary 7 | -11 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A. Notice of Intent
- B. Reasonable Assurance Analysis for Dominguez Channel Watershed within the City of Torrance
- C. Machado Lake Work Plan
- D. Machado Lake Implementation Plan
- E. Walteria Basin Supplementary Write-Up
- F. City of Torrance Stormwater Quality Management Plan
- G. Background Information on the LACFCD
- H. Approach to Addressing Receiving Water Exceedances
- I. Land Use-Based Wet Weather Pollutant EMC s
- J. BMP Effluent Concentrations
- K. Sample TLR Calculations
- L. MCM Customization Summary
- M. LID Ordinances
- N. Green Streets Policies
- O. Structural BMP Unit Cost Tables
- P. Documentation of Legal Authority
- Q. Selection of Critical Condition Year/Days for WBPCs

LIST OF ACRONYMS

| AED | Allowable Exceedance Days |
|----------|---|
| ASBS | Area of Special Biological Significance |
| ASCE | American Society of Civil Engineers |
| BMP | Best Management Practice |
| Caltrans | California Department of Transportation |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, & Liability Act |
| CFCC | California Financing Coordinating Committee |
| cfs | Cubic feet per second |
| CIMP | Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program |
| CML | Compliance Monitoring Location |
| CNT | Center for Neighborhood Technology |
| СОММ | Commercial and Sport Fishing |
| CSMP | Coordinated Shoreline Monitoring Plan |
| CTR | California Toxic Rules |
| cu-ft | Cubic feet |
| CWA | Clean Water Act |
| CWSRF | Clean Water State Revolving Fund |
| DC | Dominguez Channel |
| DCu | Dissolved Copper |
| DDT | Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane |
| DP | Dissolved Phosphorus as P |
| DZn | Dissolved Zinc |
| EIFD | Enhanced Infrastructure Financing Districts |
| EMC | Event Mean Concentration |
| EWMP | Enhanced Watershed Management Program |
| FAA | Federal Aviation Administration |
| FC | Fecal coliform |
| FIB | Fecal Indicator Bacteria |
| ft | Foot |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GM | Geometric Mean |
| GO | General Obligation |
| gpm | Gallons per minute |
| HFS | High Flow Suspension |
| HSPF | Hydrological Simulation Program - Fortran |
| IBD | International BMP Database |
| IC/ID | Illicit Connection/Illicit Discharge |
| IDDE | Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination |
| IGP | Industrial General Permit |
| in | inch |
| IND | Industrial Service Supply |
| in/hr | Inches per hour |
| IPM | Integrated Pest Management |

| J5&6 | Jurisdictional Groups 5 and 6 | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| JPA | Joint Powers Authority | | | | |
| LACFCD | Los Angeles County Flood Control District | | | | |
| LADWP | Los Angeles Department of Water and Power | | | | |
| LARWQCB | Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board | | | | |
| lb | Pound | | | | |
| LID | Low Impact Development | | | | |
| LSPC | Loading Simulation Program C++ | | | | |
| MAR | Marine Habitat | | | | |
| MB | Manhattan Beach | | | | |
| МСМ | Minimum Control Measure | | | | |
| MEP | Maximum Extent Practical | | | | |
| MIGR | Migration of Aquatic Organisms | | | | |
| min | Minute | | | | |
| MPN | Most Probable Number | | | | |
| MS4 | Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System | | | | |
| MUN | Municipal and Domestic Supply | | | | |
| NAV | Navigation | | | | |
| NH3 | Ammonia as N | | | | |
| NO3 | Nitrate as N | | | | |
| NOI | Notice of Intent | | | | |
| NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System | | | | |
| 0&M | Operations and Maintenance | | | | |
| OM&R | Operations, Maintenance, and Replacement | | | | |
| PCB | Polychlorinated Biphenyl | | | | |
| PIPP | Public Information and Participation Program | | | | |
| RAA | Reasonable Assurance Analysis | | | | |
| RARE | Rare, Threatened, or Endangered Species | | | | |
| RB | Redondo Beach | | | | |
| REC-1 | Water Contact Recreation | | | | |
| REC-2 | Non-Contact Water Recreation | | | | |
| RWL | Receiving Water Limitation | | | | |
| SBPAT | Structural BMP Prioritization and Analysis Tool | | | | |
| SCCWRP | Southern California Coastal Watershed Research Project | | | | |
| SCPWA | Southern California Public Water Authority | | | | |
| SFPUC | San Francisco Public Utilities Commission | | | | |
| SHELL | Shellfish Harvesting | | | | |
| SMB | Santa Monica Bay | | | | |
| SMBBB | Santa Monica Bay Beaches Bacteria | | | | |
| SPWN | Spawning, Reproduction, and/or Early Development | | | | |
| SUSMP | Standard Urban Stormwater Management Program | | | | |
| SWMM | Storm Water Management Model, originally developed by USEPA | | | | |
| SWQDv | Storm Water Quality Design Volume | | | | |
| SWQPA | State Water Quality Protection Area | | | | |
| SWRCB | State Water Resources Control Board | | | | |

| Technical Advisory Committee |
|---|
| Total Copper |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N |
| Total Phosphorus |
| Total Lead |
| Toxicity Identification Evaluation |
| Total Load Reduction |
| Total Maximum Daily Load |
| Total Suspended Solids |
| Total Zinc |
| United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| Warm Freshwater Habitat |
| Water Body-Pollutant Combination |
| Waste Discharge Requirement |
| Water Effects Ratio |
| Water Environment Research Foundation |
| Wetland Habitat |
| Web-Based Hydrograph Analysis Tool |
| Wildlife Habitat |
| Waste Load Allocation |
| Watershed Management Area |
| Watershed Management Group |
| Watershed Management Modeling System |
| Watershed Management Program |
| Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitation |
| |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

Following adoption of the 2012 Los Angeles Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit¹ (Permit), the Cities of Hermosa Beach, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach and Torrance, together with the Los Angeles County Flood Control District (LACFCD), collectively referred to as the Beach Cities Watershed Management Group (Beach Cities WMG) agreed to collaborate on the development of an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP) for the Santa Monica Bay (SMB) and Dominguez Channel Watershed areas within their jurisdictions (referred to herein as the Beach Cities EWMP Area). The Machado Lake Watershed is being addressed separately by the City of Torrance, and is not addressed in this EWMP².

This EWMP is intended to facilitate effective, watershed-specific Permit implementation strategies in accordance with Permit Part VI.C. Watershed Management Program. This EWMP:

- Summarizes watershed-specific water quality priorities identified by the Beach Cities WMG;
- Outlines the program plan, including specific strategies, control measures and best management practices (BMPs)³, necessary to achieve water quality targets (Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations [WQBELs] and Receiving Water Limitations [RWLs]); and
- Describes the quantitative analyses completed to support target achievement and Permit compliance.

In compliance with Section VI.C.4.b of the Permit, the Beach Cities WMG submitted to the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board (LARWQCB) a Notice of Intent (NOI) (**Appendix A**) to develop an EWMP on June 28, 2013, with a revised NOI submitted December 17, 2013 in response to comments received from LARWQCB staff. On March 27, 2014, the Beach Cities WMG received a letter from the Executive Officer of the LARWQCB approving the revised NOI submittal.

³ For simplification, the term "BMP" will be used to collectively refer to strategies, control measures, and/or best management practices. The Permit also refers to these measures as Watershed Control Measures.

¹ Order No. R4-2012-0175 NPDES Permit No. CAS004001 Waste Discharge Requirements for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Discharges within the Coastal Watersheds of Los Angeles County, except those Discharges Originating from the City of Long Beach MS4.

² The City of Torrance developed a Special Study Work Plan for the Machado Lake Nutrient TMDL (City of Torrance, 2011) (**Appendix C**), which was approved by the LARWQCB. On January 28, 2015, the City of Torrance submitted to the LARWQCB the BMP Implementation Plan for the Machado Lake Nutrient and Toxics TMDL (City of Torrance, 2014). For reference, the Implementation Plan is attached to this EWMP as **Appendix D**, but it should be reviewed separately from this EWMP. A separate discussion of the Walteria Basin is also attached as **Appendix E**. Previous work also includes the City of Torrance's Stormwater Quality Master Plan, which is included as **Appendix F**. LACFCD infrastructure in the Machado Lake Watershed is covered under this EWMP as explained in **Attachment G**.

In compliance with Section VI.C.4.c.iv of the Permit, the Beach Cities WMG then submitted a draft EWMP Work Plan to the LARWQCB on June 26, 2014. LARWQCB comments were not received on the EWMP Work Plan; therefore work proceeded on EWMP development consistent with the approach outlined in the EWMP Work Plan. The Beach Cities WMG was required by Section VI.C.4.c.iv of the Permit to submit a draft EWMP no later than June 30, 2015. This document has been developed to serve as the Beach Cities Draft EWMP and is consistent with the Work Plan previously submitted to the LARWQCB.

Watershed Management Programs (WMPs) are a voluntary opportunity afforded by Section VI.C.1 of the Permit for Permittees to collaboratively or individually develop comprehensive watershedspecific control plans and are intended to facilitate Permit compliance and water quality target achievement. Enhanced WMPs (EWMPS) are WMPs which comprehensively evaluate opportunities for collaboration on multi-benefit regional projects that retain all non-stormwater runoff and runoff from the 85th percentile, 24 hour storm event while also achieving benefits associated with issues such as flood control and water supply. Where it is not feasible for regional projects to retain the 85th percentile 24 hour storm, the EWMP must demonstrate through a Reasonable Assurance Analysis, that applicable water quality targets should be achieved. Permittees within the Beach Cities Watershed Management Area (WMA) have elected to prepare an EWMP. The EWMP allows Permittees to collaboratively or individually develop comprehensive watershed-specific control plans which a) prioritize water quality issues, b) identify and implement focused strategies, control measures and BMPs, c) execute an integrated monitoring and assessment program, and d) allow for modification over time. In general, WMPs and EWMPs are intended to facilitate Permit compliance and water quality target achievement and goals that: 1) discharges from covered MS4s achieve applicable WQBELs and RWLs and do not include prohibited non-stormwater discharges; and 2) control measures are implemented to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable (MEP). Per Permit Section VI.C.1.e, WMPs and EWMPs are to be developed based on the LARWQCB's WMAs or subwatersheds thereof.

Consistent with Permit requirements, this EWMP is written to:

- 1. Be consistent with Permit provisions for EWMPs in Part VI.C.1.a.-f and Part VI.C.5-C.8;
- 2. Incorporate applicable State agency input on priority setting and other key implementation issues;
- 3. Provide for meeting water quality standards and other Clean Water Act obligations;
- Include multi-benefit regional projects which retain stormwater from the 85th percentile 24 hour storm where feasible;
- 5. Include watershed control measures which achieve compliance with all interim and final WQBELs in drainage areas where retention of the 85th percentile 24 hour storm is infeasible with reasonable assurance;
- 6. Maximize the effectiveness of funding;
- 7. Incorporate effective innovative technologies;

- 8. Ensure existing requirements to comply with technology based effluent limitations and core requirements are not delayed; and
- 9. Ensure a financial strategy is in place.

This EWMP is applicable to the Beach Cities WMG EWMP Area, which consists of all of the incorporated MS4 areas of the cities of Redondo Beach, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach and Torrance (excluding the Machado Lake Watershed) and includes the infrastructure of the LACFCD within those jurisdictions (**Figure ES-1**). This area includes portions of two distinct HUC-12 watersheds⁴, Santa Monica Bay Watershed and Dominguez Channel Watershed, as summarized in **Table ES-1**. The Wylie Sump, Bishop Montgomery Basin, and Ocean Basin are all retention basins with no outlet. Therefore, their drainage areas have been excluded from the EWMP, with no analyses required.

- The western portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area consists of approximately 7,840 acres of land that drains to Santa Monica Bay (SMB). This accounts for 52% of the total Beach Cities WMG area, and includes portions of the cities of Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, and Torrance, and the entirety of the City of Hermosa Beach. This portion of the study area is hereinafter referred to as the "SMB Watershed".
- The northeastern portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area is tributary to Dominguez Channel (including Torrance Carson Channel) and is comprised of approximately 7,380 acres of land. This watershed accounts for 48% of the total Beach Cities EWMP Area, and includes portions of the cities of Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, and Torrance. Storm drains from the Cities of Manhattan Beach and Redondo Beach drain through the City of Lawndale before discharging to Dominguez Channel. The City of Torrance's MS4 discharges directly to Dominguez Channel and Torrance Carson Channel (Torrance Lateral). Collectively, this portion of the study area is hereinafter referred to as the "Dominguez Channel Watershed".

⁴ A HUC-12 watershed is defined by a 12-digit hydrologic unit code (HUC) delineation, which identifies the watershed area based on six levels of classification: regional, sub-region, hydrologic basin, hydrologic sub-basin, watershed, and subwatershed.

| | | Area (acres) | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Participating Agency | Santa Monica Bay Watershed | Dominguez Channel Watershed | Total EWMP Area (% of total) |
| City of Redondo Beach | 2,614 | 1,217 | 3,831 (25%) |
| City of Manhattan Beach | 2,078 | 350 | 2,428 (16%) |
| City of Hermosa Beach | 832 | - | 832 (5%) |
| City of Torrance | 2,314 | 5,812 | 8,126 (53%) |
| Total | 7,837 | 7,379 | 15,217 (100%) |

Table ES-1. Beach Cities WMG Area Distribution by Participating Agency

The EWMP approach, including model selection, data inputs, critical condition selection, calibration performance criteria, and output types is consistent with the LARWQCB Reasonable Assurance Analysis Guidance Document (LARWQCB, 2014) and also leverages previous efforts where relevant models have already been developed. The individual water quality targets, BMPs, Reasonable Assurance Analyses, schedules, and costs for each of the watersheds are summarized in watershed-specific sections that follow.



Figure ES-1. Beach Cities EWMP Area

SANTA MONICA BAY WATERSHED

Receiving waters for stormwater runoff from the Beach Cities EWMP Area were screened for water quality priorities by reviewing Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), the State's 303(d) list, and additional water quality data. Each identified water quality priority for a given receiving water body was categorized as a water body-pollutant combination. Water body-pollutant combinations were classified into one of three categories, in accordance with Section VI.C.5(a).ii of the Permit. **Table ES-2** presents the prioritized water body-pollutant combinations within the SMB Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area. Water body-pollutant combinations categorized below are subject to change based on future data collected as part of the Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program (CIMP) or other monitoring program.

| Category | Water Body | Pollutant | Reason/Justification |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|
| | Santa | Dry Weather Bacteria | SMB Beaches Dry Weather Bacteria TMDL |
| 1: Highest | Monica Bay Beaches | Wet Weather Bacteria | SMB Beaches Wet Weather Bacteria TMDL |
| Priority | Santa Monica Bay | Trash/Debris | SMB Debris TMDL |
| | | DDTs | SMB PCBs and DDT TMDL |
| | | PCBs | SMB PCBs and DDT TMDL |
| 2: High | N / A | Nono | No other 303(d) listings exist for the Beach Cities |
| Priority | N/A | None | portion of SMB |
| 3: Medium | N / A | Nono | Outfall and receiving water monitoring data are |
| Priority | IN/A | NULLE | not available for the Beach Cities portion of SMB |

Table ES-2. Water Body-Pollutant Combination Prioritization for the Santa Monica BayWatershed

The Reasonable Assurance Analysis was performed on bacteria in each of the defined analysis regions (**Figure ES-2**), as it was the controlling pollutant within the SMB Watershed. Bacteria targets are summarized in **Table ES-3**.

The MS4 compliance targets for dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethanes (DDTs) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) established in the Santa Monica Bay DDT & PCB TMDL were based on the assumption that the existing stormwater pollutant loads for DDT and PCBs were lower than what was needed to protect the Santa Monica Bay from these legacy pollutants (i.e., based on data used in the TMDL, no MS4 pollutant load reduction is expected to be required). Therefore, no reductions in DDT and PCB loading from the Beach Cities WMG MS4s are required to meet the TMDL and therefore, no Reasonable Assurance Analysis is required.

Trash was not modeled as part of the Reasonable Assurance Analysis, instead the Reasonable Assurance Analysis describes how the Beach Cities WMG Agencies will comply with the TMDL through their Trash Monitoring and Reporting Programs which are aimed at meeting the zero trash discharge definition in the TMDL.

| Water | Dollutant | RWL/WQBEL from | Note on Modeling Assumptions |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Бойу | Pollutalit | the Perlint | Note on Modering Assumptions |
| | Fecal Coliform | Allowable | Used 00th perceptile rain year (based on |
| | (modeled as surrogate | Exceedance Days | used 90 ^m percentile failing year (based on |
| Santa | for all three fecal | per season per year | Accounted for site specific exceedence |
| Monica Bay | indicator bacteria in | (varies by beach | rates and the number of discharge days |
| Beaches | the Santa Monica Bay | Compliance | rates and the number of discharge days |
| | Beaches Bacteria | Monitoring | I a setion |
| | [SMBBB] TMDL) | Location) | Location. |

Table ES-3. Water Quality Targets for the Santa Monica Bay Watershed



Figure ES-2. Analysis Regions and Compliance Monitoring Locations within the SMB Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area

Targets – Santa Monica Bay

Target load reductions (TLRs) represent a numerical expression of the Permit compliance metrics that can be modeled and can serve as a basis for confirming, with reasonable assurance, that implementation of the proposed BMPs will result in attainment of the applicable TMDL-based WQBELs and RWLs in the Permit for Category 1 pollutants, or the Water Quality Objectives for Category 2 and Category 3 pollutants. For bacteria the target load reductions are expressed as Allowable Exceedance Days (AEDs) per year. TLRs for both interim and final compliance deadlines are presented for all analysis regions including both open beach and point zero compliance monitoring locations (CMLs) (**Table ES-4**).

| | Baseline | Interim Target Load | | Final Target Load | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | Annual Load | Redu | ction | Redu | iction |
| | (10 ¹² Most | | | | |
| | Probable | | % of | | % of |
| | Number | Absolute | baseline | Absolute | baseline |
| Analysis Region | [MPN]) | (10 ¹² MPN) | annual load | (10 ¹² MPN) | annual load |
| SMB-5-01 ¹ | 7.4 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-0-06 | 23.0 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-02 | 534.8 | | | 247.6 | 46.3% |
| SMB-5-02/SMB-5-03 ² | 34.9 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-03 ¹ | 29.0 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-03/SMB-5-04 ² | 89.3 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-04 ¹ | 17.1 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-04/SMB-5-05 ² | 8.2 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-05 ¹ | 182.8 | Interim target | load reduction | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-5-05/SMB-6-01 ² | 6.7 | assessed on a v | vatershed-wide | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-6-01 ³ | 706.6 | ba | sis | 312.1 | 44.2% |
| BCSump ³ | 379.4 | | | 178.0 | 46.9% |
| SMB-6-01/ SMB-6-02 ² | 162.5 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-6-021 | 99.6 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-6-03 | 62.2 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-6-04 | 209.9 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-6-051 | 90.9 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-0-08 | 138.9 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB-6-06 ¹ | 6.7 | | | 0 | 0% |
| SMB Watershed-Wide | 3875.9 | 368.9 | 13% | 737.7 | 26% |

Table ES-4. TLRs for Fecal Coliform in the Santa Monica Bay Watershed

¹ Anti-degradation site

² For the unmonitored tributary areas located in-between the CML tributary areas, TLRs were assigned from the geographically smaller of the two adjacent CML analysis regions.

³ "BCSump" was defined as a separate analysis region for modeling purposes. The baseline load for "BCSump" analysis region was combined with the baseline load of the "SMB-6-01" analysis region to equal the total baseline load contributing to the SMB-6-01 CML ("SMB-6-01+BCSump"). Nine CMLs were assigned zero TLRs to reflect their historic good water quality (consistent with anti-degradation-based wet weather allowable exceedance days). Although the SMBBB TMDL requires only the maintaining of beach water quality at anti-degradation compliance locations, the Beach Cities EWMP will seek to implement nonstructural and Low Impact Development (LID)-based BMPs within the SMB portion of their EWMP area; this will further protect and potentially improve water quality at these beaches and is consistent with the Jurisdictional Group 5 and 6 (J5&6) Implementation Plan (Geosyntec Consultants, 2011).

BMPs - Santa Monica Bay

EWMPs offer Permittees the opportunity to identify and implement focused strategies, control measures and BMPs to achieve applicable water quality targets (WQBELs and RWLs) and to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable. In order to demonstrate reasonable assurance, BMPs were identified and prioritized. Prioritization was based on cost (low cost BMPs were prioritized); BMP effectiveness for the pollutants of concern (BMPs that had greater treatment efficiency for the specific pollutants of concern were prioritized); and implementation feasibility as determined by the Beach Cities agencies. In general, nonstructural (e.g., programmatic) BMPs were prioritized over structural BMPs due to their lower relative cost.

The following is an overview of the types of BMPs contemplated in this EWMP within the Santa Monica Bay Watershed.

<u>Programmatic BMPs</u>: These source controls include a combination of BMPs such as new or enhanced pet waste controls (ordinance, signage, education/outreach, mutt mitts, etc.), Clean Bay Restaurant Program, human waste source tracking and remediation (e.g., leaking sewer investigations including implementation of each agency's Sanitary Sewer Management Plan consistent with Statewide Waste Discharge Requirements [WDRs], etc.), enhanced street sweeping (e.g., 100% vacuum sweepers, increased frequency, posting of 'No Parking' signs for street sweeping, etc.), increased catch basin and storm drain cleaning, and other new or enhanced nonstructural BMPs that target the pollutants addressed in this EWMP.

<u>Public Retrofit Incentives</u>: These BMPs include programs directed at incentivizing the public to decrease the amount of stormwater runoff from their property, specifically via downspout disconnection programs that redirect roof runoff to vegetated or otherwise pervious areas.

<u>Redevelopment</u>: Beginning in 2001, redevelopment projects were required by the Permit (via the Standard Urban Stormwater Management Program [SUSMP]) to incorporate stormwater treatment BMPs into their projects if their project size exceeded specified thresholds. The 2001 MS4 Permit SUSMP redevelopment requirements were applied between 2003 (the point at which the Bacteria TMDL was implemented) and 2015 for the SMB EWMP area. Additionally, the 2012 MS4 Permit established new criteria for redevelopment projects, requiring certain sized projects to capture, retain, or infiltrate the 85th percentile design storm or the 0.75-inch design storm, whichever is greater, via the implementation of LID BMPs. These were taken into account as well.

<u>Non-MS4 Permitted Parcels or Areas</u>: In general, this BMP assumes that regulated parcels/areas would be in compliance with the NPDES Statewide Storm Water Permit Waste Discharge

Requirements (WDRs) from State of California Department of Transportation (Order No. 2012-0011-DWQ, NPDES No. CAS000003) and the California NPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activities (Industrial General Permit [IGP], Order 2014-0057-DWQ).

<u>Structural BMPs</u>: Both existing and proposed regional and distributed structural BMPs are included in this EWMP to address water quality targets in the SMB Watershed. Because bacteria were identified as the controlling pollutant of concern, infiltration BMPs were prioritized as they are most effective for addressing bacteria. General design criteria for proposed structural BMPs are summarized in **Table ES-5**.

| Analysis Region | Proiect Name | Description | Storage Volume (cu-ft) | Tributary Area (acres) |
|--------------------|---|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SMB-5-02 | Manhattan Beach Infiltration Trench ² | Located along the coast of Manhattan Beach, the sub-surface trench has a potential surface area of 2 ac, an average depth of 2 ft with a diversion rate of 160 cfs and an infiltration rate under the trench of 13 in/hr. | 198,000 | 1,475 ¹ |
| SMB-5-02 | Distributed Green Streets | The distributed green streets, proposed to address runoff from 5% of single family residential, multi-family residential, and commercial land uses, are assumed to have 6 in of ponding, 1.5 ft of amended soil, 3 in of mulch, and an infiltration rate of 0.15 in/hr. | 205,500 | 66 |
| SMB-6-01 | Hermosa Beach Infiltration Trench | Located along the coast of Hermosa Beach, the sub-surface trench has a potential surface area of 0.2 ac, an average depth of 1.7 ft, a diversion flowrate of 25 cfs, and an infiltration rate of 12.5 in/hr. | 13,300 | 2,0001 |
| SMB-6-01 | Hermosa Beach Greenbelt Infiltration ² | Located in Hermosa Beach, between Valley Dr. and Ardmore Ave., the sub-surface trench has a potential surface area of 1.5 ac, an average depth of 5 ft, a diversion flowrate of 48 cfs, and an assumed infiltration rate of 12 in/hr. | 319,000 | 1,8001 |
| SMB-6-01 | Park #3 | Located northwest of Blossom Lane and 190 th street, the sub-surface infiltration basin has a potential surface area of 0.5 ac, an average depth of 5ft , a diversion flowrate of 13 cfs, and an infiltration rate of 1 in/hr. | 87,000 | 1,430 ¹ |

Table ES-5. Proposed Structural BMPs in the Santa Monica Bay Watershed

| Analysis Region | Project Name | Description | Storage Volume (cu-ft) | Tributary Area (acres) |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SMB-6-01 | Distributed Green Streets | The distributed green streets, proposed to address runoff from 25% of single family residential, multi-family residential, and commercial land uses, are assumed to have 6 in of ponding, 1.5 ft of amended soil, 3 in of mulch, and an infiltration rate of 0.15 in/hr. | 605,200 | 190 |

¹ This includes upstream BMPs and associated tributary drainage areas

² Alternative project locations have also been identified

Distributed green streets BMPs are proposed and were modeled as part of the Reasonable Assurance Analysis within select analysis regions, at analysis region-specific implementation levels (e.g., runoff from 14% of single family residential, multi-family residential, and commercial land uses would be treated by green streets BMPs). It should be noted that if at any time in the future, specific distributed green streets or regional/centralized BMPs are found to be infeasible for implementation, alternative BMPs or operational changes will be planned within the same subwatershed and within the same timeline, to meet an equivalent subwatershed load reduction. In addition, if monitoring data indicate that more easily implementable, alternative BMPs can provide equivalent (or superior) load reductions, these alternative BMPs may be implemented at the discretion of the WMG Agencies.

Demonstration of Compliance - Santa Monica Bay

To demonstrate wet weather compliance, a Reasonable Assurance Analysis was conducted in which the following steps were taken:

- 1. For each analysis region, develop TLRs for 90th percentile year based on Permit requirements and LARWQCB guidance;
- 2. Identify structural and non-structural BMPs that were either implemented after applicable TMDL effective dates or are planned for implementation in the future:
 - a. Assume a load reduction for <u>non-modeled non-structural (or programmatic) BMPs</u> (five percent of baseline pollutant load);
 - b. Calculate load reductions for <u>public incentives for retrofits on private property</u> (e.g., downspout disconnects) and <u>redevelopment (e.g., low impact development requirements</u>);
 - c. Calculate load reductions attributable to anticipated <u>new permit compliance</u> <u>activities of non-MS4 Permittees</u> (e.g., Industrial General Permit holders and California Department of Transportation [Caltrans]); and
 - d. Calculate load reductions for <u>proposed regional BMPs</u> that were identified in existing plans;
- 3. Compare total estimated load reduction for each analysis region with the TLRs; and

4. Meet the TLRs by backfilling the remaining load reduction with <u>new regional or</u> <u>distributed green streets BMPs</u>, and with green streets that address a certain percentage of specific developed land uses.

Results of the Reasonable Assurance Analysis for each analysis region in the SMB watershed are presented in **Table ES-6** below. The values provided correspond to the load reductions attributable to the BMP types following the applicable final and interim compliance deadlines. As shown, the final TLR is met in all SMB watershed analysis regions with varying applications of non-structural and regional BMPs. The interim 50% TLR is met through a combination of nonstructural and existing regional BMPs.

For dry weather bacteria compliance, a qualitative analysis was conducted to show compliance at each of the CMLs. Many CMLs have an effective diversion such that they are consistently operational, well maintained, and sized to effectively eliminate discharges to the surf zone during year-round dry weather days. For the remaining smaller outfalls a systematic screening conducted in 2002 demonstrated that there was no discharge to the wave wash during summer dry weather from these storm drains. Rescreening of outfalls will be conducted as part of the Non-Stormwater Screening and Monitoring in the Coordinated Integrated Monitoring Program and will include both summer dry weather and winter dry weather screening. For the CMLs in the SMB Watershed that have anti-degradation based allowed exceedance days for both winter-dry and summer-dry weather, reasonable assurance is assumed to be demonstrated through the basis that the TMDL established their allowed exceedance days based on historic conditions (i.e., no water quality improvements were necessary).

Table ES-6. Santa Monica Bay Watershed – Fecal Coliform Reasonable Assurance Analysis Results – Interim and Final Compliance

| | Implementation Benefits (average load reduction as % of baseline load for critical year) | | | | | | al year) | | |
|---|--|--|-------------|------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| Analysis Region | Non-Structural BMPs (Non-Modeled) | Public Retrofit Incentives + Redevelopment | Non- MS4 | Regional BMPs | Distributed BMPs | Distributed BMP Implementation Level | Estimated Load Reduction | TLR | Compliance (TLR Met)? |
| SMB-5-01 | 5% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 7% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-0-06 | 5% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 7% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-02 | 5% | 4% | 2% | 36% | 3% | 5% MFR/COM/SFR | 50% | 46% | Yes |
| SMB-5-02/5-03 | 5% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 8% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-03 | 5% | 3% | 0% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 8% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-03/5-04 | 5% | 4% | 0% | 5% | 0% | N/A | 15% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-04 | 5% | 5% | 0% | 1% | $1\%^{2}$ | N/A | 12% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-04/5-05 | 5% | 4% | 0% | 2% | 0% | N/A | 11% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-05 | 5% | 4% | 5% | 3% | 0% | N/A | 18% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-5-05/6-01 | 5% | 3% | 0% | 2% | 0% | N/A | 10% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-6-01+ BCSump ¹ | 5% | 3% | 3% | 33% | 2% | 25% MFR/COM/SFR | 46% | 45% | Yes |
| SMB-6-01/6-02 | 5% | 2% | 4% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 11% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-6-02 | 5% | 3% | 1% | 4% | 0% | N/A | 13% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-6-03 | 5% | 3% | 5% | 10% | 0% | N/A | 23% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-6-04 | 5% | 4% | 3% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 12% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-6-05 | 5% | 3% | 6% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 15% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-0-08 | 5% | 2% | 0% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 7% | 0% | Yes |
| SMB-6-06 | 5% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | N/A | 10% | 0% | Yes |
| Final Compliance Deadline (2021) | 5% | 3% | 3% | 21% | 1% | N/A | 33% | 26% | Yes |
| Interim Compliance Deadline (2018) | 2.5% | 0.8% | 1.5% | 9.6% | 0% | N/A | 14.4% | 13% | Yes |

¹ "BCSump" was defined as a separate analysis region for modeling purposes. The baseline load for "BCSump" analysis region was combined with the baseline load of the "SMB-6-01" analysis region to equal the total baseline load contributing to the SMB-6-01 CML ("SMB-6-01+BCSump").

² Distributed green street BMP load reduction in SMB-5-04 is a result of the existing filter/infiltration boxes retrofitted on the east side of Hermosa Avenue in the City of Hermosa Beach.

Schedule - Santa Monica Bay

In order to meet the compliance deadlines for the water body-pollutant combinations discussed above based on load reduction projections in the Reasonable Assurance Analysis, the proposed structural BMPs within the SMB Watershed would be implemented as described in **Figure ES-3**.

| | Timeline | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Project Name | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
| Catch basin retrofits | | | | | | | |
| Manhattan Beach Infiltration Trench* | | | | | | | |
| Green streets application in SMB-5-02 | | | | | | | |
| Hermosa Beach Greenbelt Infiltration* | | | | | | | |
| Hermosa Beach Infiltration Trench | | | | | | | |
| Park #3 | | | | | | | |
| Green streets application in SMB-6-01 | | | | | | | |

Figure ES-3. Proposed Project Sequencing in the Santa Monica Bay Watershed

* Alternative project locations have also been identified

Dominguez Channel Watershed

Within the Dominguez Channel Watershed, water body-pollutant combinations were classified into one of three categories, in accordance with Section VI.C.5(a).ii of the Permit. **Table ES-7** presents the prioritized water body-pollutant combinations within the Dominguez Channel Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area. Water body-pollutant combinations categorized below are subject to change based on future data collected as part of the CIMP or other monitoring program.

| Table ES-7. Water Body-Pollutant Prioritization for the Dominguez Channel Watershed |
|---|
| |

| Category | Water Body | Pollutant | Reason for Categorization |
|---------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| | Dominguag | Toxicity | Dominguez Channel Toxics TMDL |
| 1: Highest | Channel (including | Total Copper | Dominguez Channel Toxics TMDL |
| Priority | Torrance Lateral) | Total Lead | Dominguez Channel Toxics TMDL |
| | TOTTallee Lateral | Total Zinc | Dominguez Channel Toxics TMDL |
| 2: High Priority | Dominguez Channel (including Torrance Lateral) | Indicator Bacteria | 303(d) List |
| 3: Medium | Dominguez Channel (including | Cyanide | Historic exceedances of the California Toxics Rule (CTR) continuous concentration water quality objective (5.2 ug/L) |
| Priority | Torrance Lateral) | рН | Historic exceedance of the Basin Plan Objective (6.5 – 8.5) |

| Category | Water Body | Pollutant | Reason for Categorization |
|----------|-----------------|-----------|---|
| | | Selenium | Historic exceedances of the CTR continuous concentration water quality objective (5.0 ug/L) |
| | Mercu Cadmin | | Historic exceedances of the CTR human health criterion for organisms only (0.051 ug/L) |
| | | | Historic exceedances of the CTR continuous concentration water quality objective (2.2 ug/L) |

For the purposes of the wet weather Reasonable Assurance Analysis, the EWMP area draining to Dominguez Channel was combined into a single analysis region to establish TLRs and into two analysis regions, one including the portion of the Cities of Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach (Dominguez Channel – Redondo Beach/Manhattan Beach [DC–RB/MB]) and one including the portion of the City of Torrance (DC – Torrance), to evaluate the performance of BMPs. For the purposes of the dry weather Reasonable Assurance Analysis for which bacteria are the only water body-pollutant combination, the EWMP area draining to Dominguez Channel was combined into the same single analysis region. The Dominguez Channel watershed analysis regions are shown in **Figure ES-4**.

The wet weather Reasonable Assurance Analysis was performed on copper, lead, zinc, and bacteria (fecal coliform) within the Dominguez Channel Watershed. Water quality targets were identified for Dominguez Channel watershed in the same manner as in SMB Watershed. The water quality targets for prioritized water body-pollutant combinations are summarized in **Table ES-8** below.

| Water Body | Pollutant | RWL/WQBEL from the Permit or Assumed Based on Other Similar Los Angeles Region TMDLs | Approach for Applying the Critical Period | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Dominguez Channel | Fecal Coliform | 19% allowed exceedance of the REC-1 water quality objective, (400 MPN/100mL) on non-high flow suspension days | 90th percentile year (based on wet days) was used as the critical condition. Allowable number of wet weather exceedance days for the critical year was set to 19% of non- high flow suspension wet days, rounding down. | | |
| | Total Copper | WQBEL=9.7 ug/L Waste load allocation (WLA)= Concentration*Daily Volume | 90 th percentile daily load during wet weather was used as the critical | | |
| | Total Lead | WQBEL=42.7 ug/L WLA= Concentration*Daily Volume | identified for each metal by ranking | | |
| | Total Zinc | WQBEL=69.7 ug/L WLA= Concentration*Daily Volume | between 2003 and 2012. | | |

| Table FC O Water (|)ality Tangata | for the Domingues | Channel Watershed |
|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| i abie 25-8. water u | Juanty rargets | for the Dominguez | unannel watersneu |
| | | | |

Although toxicity was identified as a Category 1 water body-pollutant combination, it was not modeled for Dominguez Channel and the Torrance Lateral since it is not a wet weather parameter that can be modeled using currently available Reasonable Assurance Analysis tools for the Los Angeles Region. Instead, the Reasonable Assurance Analysis qualitatively describes how the Beach Cities WMG Agencies will comply with the TMDL WQBELs. Toxicity will continue to be monitored under the Beach Cities' CIMP. Although ammonia was identified as a Category 2 water bodypollutant combination, monitoring data since 2003 show that all water quality samples at monitoring locations S28 and TS19 meet the freshwater Basin Plan Objective for ammonia, and as a result, ammonia was not modeled as part of the Beach Cities' Reasonable Assurance Analysis. Similarly, the Category 3 water body-pollutant combinations cyanide, pH, selenium, mercury, and cadmium, all within the Torrance Lateral, were not modeled either due to a lack of demonstrated MS4 linkage or due to data limitations. These parameters will be monitored under the Beach Cities' CIMP and if future monitoring data suggest that the Beach Cities' MS4s may cause or contribute to cadmium exceedances in the receiving water, the EWMP will be revised to address these pollutants.



Figure ES-4. Analysis Regions within the Dominguez Channel Watershed portion of the Beach Cities EWMP Area

Targets – Dominguez Channel

As discussed previously, TLRs represent a numerical expression of the Permit compliance metrics (e.g., allowed mass per day for metals for wet weather and allowable exceedance days per year for bacteria) that can be modeled and can serve as a basis for confirming, with reasonable assurance, that implementation of the proposed BMPs will result in attainment of the applicable TMDL-based WQBELs and RWLs in the Permit for Category 1 pollutants, or the Water Quality Objectives for Category 2 and Category 3 pollutants. TLRs were developed for the single combined analysis region (**Table ES-9**).

| | | | | Interim Target Load Reductions | | Final Ta Red | arget Load uctions |
|------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Delli de d | Compliance | | Baseline Annual | % of baseline | | | % of baseline |
| Pollutant | Deadline | Units | Load | Absolute | annual load | Absolute | annual load |
| Copper | 2032 | lb | 21 | | | 13 | 62% |
| Lead | 2032 | lb | 8.7 |] | N/A | 0 | 0% |
| Zinc | 2032 | lb | 230 | | | 175 | 76% |
| Focal | 2022 | 10 ¹² MPN | 1,498 | 124 | 8.3% | - | - |
| Fecal | 2027 | 10 ¹² MPN | 1,498 | 255 | 17% | - | - |
| COMOTIN | 2032 | 10 ¹² MPN | 1,498 | - | - | 493 | 33% |

Table ES-9. TLRs for the Dominguez Channel Watershed

BMPs – Dominguez Channel

Both existing and proposed regional and distributed BMPs are included in this EWMP to address water quality targets in the Dominguez Channel Watershed. Distributed green streets BMPs are proposed and were modeled as part of the Reasonable Assurance Analysis within the DC-RB/MB analysis region, at an implementation level of 14% (i.e., runoff from 14% of single family residential, multi-family residential, commercial, and industrial land uses would be treated by green streets BMPs). General design criteria for proposed structural BMPs are summarized in **Table ES-10**.

| Analysis | | | Storage Volume | Tributary Area |
|-----------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Region | Project Name | Description | (cu-ft) | (acres) |
| DC – MB/RB | Powerline Easement Infiltration* | Located along powerline easements and/or adjacent to Marine Avenue and Manhattan Beach Boulevard, the sub-surface biofilter has a potential surface area of 7.2 ac, an average depth of 5 ft, a diversion flowrate of 132 cfs, and a negligible infiltration rate. | N/A (Flow- through BMP) | 1,500 |
| DC – MB/RB | Artesia Blvd. and Hawthorne Blvd. Filtration | Located near the intersection of Artesia Blvd. and Hawthorne Blvd., the sub-surface biofilter has a potential surface area of 1 ac, an average depth of 5 ft, a diversion flowrate of 13.6 cfs, and a negligible infiltration rate. | N/A (Flow- through BMP) | 130 |
| DC- MB/RB | Distributed Green Streets BMPs | The distributed green streets (to address runoff from 14% of single family residential, multi- family residential, commercial, and industrial land uses) are assumed to have 6 in of ponding, 1.5 ft of amended soil, 3 in of mulch, and an infiltration rate of 0.15 in/hr. | 636,300 | 200 |
| DC- Torrance | Catch Basin Inlet Filters | The City of Torrance plans to retrofit catch basins with inlet filters. | N/A | 5,760 |

Table ES-10. Proposed Structural BMPs in the Dominguez Channel Watershed

*Alternative project location has also been identified

It should be noted that if at any time specific distributed green streets or regional/centralized BMPs are found to be infeasible for implementation, or new innovative BMPs are developed, alternative BMPs or operational changes will be planned within the same analysis region and within the same timeline, to meet an equivalent analysis region load reduction. The performance of the proposed catch basin inlet filters within the City of Torrance will also be evaluated as potential alternatives to the proposed structural BMPs within the Cities of Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach.

Demonstration of Compliance – Dominguez Channel

To demonstrate wet weather compliance, the Reasonable Assurance Analysis was performed according to the following steps:

- For each analysis region, develop TLRs for the critical condition (90th percentile year for bacteria and 90th percentile load day for metals) based on Permit requirements and LARWQCB guidance;
- 2. Identify structural and non-structural BMPs that were either implemented after applicable TMDL effective dates or are planned for implementation in the future:
 - a. Assume a load reduction for <u>non-modeled non-structural (or programmatic) BMPs</u> (five percent of baseline pollutant load);
 - b. Calculate load reductions for <u>public incentives for private retrofit</u> (e.g., downspout disconnects) and <u>redevelopment</u>;
 - c. Calculate load reductions attributable to anticipated <u>new permit compliance</u> <u>activities of non-MS4 entities</u> (e.g., Industrial General Permit holders and Caltrans); and
 - d. Calculate load reductions for <u>proposed regional BMPs</u> that were identified in existing plans;
- 3. Compare total estimated load reduction for each analysis region with the TLRs; and
- 4. Meet the TLRs by backfilling the remaining load reduction with <u>new regional or</u> <u>distributed green streets BMPs</u>, with green streets modeled by assuming treatment of runoff from a percentage of specific developed land uses. Within the DC-Torrance analysis region, an estimated load reduction attributable to distributed catch basin inlet filters was derived from a review of literature/studies on their performance (**Appendix B**). If the estimated performance is supported by future monitoring data, these filters may be used as alternative BMPs in other portions of the Dominguez Channel Watershed.

Results of the wet weather Reasonable Assurance Analysis for each analysis region are presented in **Table ES-11** below. The values provided correspond to the load reductions attributable to the BMP types following the applicable compliance deadline. As shown, the TLRs are predicted to be met in the DC-RB/MB analysis region for metals and fecal coliforms with varying applications of non-structural and regional BMPs as described previously. Within the DC-Torrance analysis region, the TLRs will be met through implementation of catch basin inlet filters as needed. Monitoring and subsequent adaptive management will be employed to evaluate the achieved load reductions prior to each of the compliance deadlines, installing additional filters as needed until compliance is achieved for every applicable WQBEL or RWL.

For dry weather, bacteria is the only applicable pollutant in the Dominguez Channel watershed, and it is a Category 2 water body-pollutant combination (i.e., 303(d)-listed but not currently subject to a TMDL).

The City of Torrance's dry weather load reduction strategy will focus on non-structural source control and pollution prevention measures that are designed to reduce the amount of pollutants and understand the effect of pollutants entering runoff though education, enforcement and behavioral modification programs.

Within the Cities of Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach, the implementation of the two regional BMPs at both outlets from the DC-RB/MB analysis region to address wet weather pollutants will control dry weather flows by capturing the small flows in the pre-treatment volume and either retaining them or treating them in the media filter.

In addition, each of the EWMP WMG cities has water conservation regulations which will reduce dry weather runoff at its source. Collectively, by controlling dry weather MS4 flows prior to entering Dominguez Channel using the proposed suite of BMPs, bacteria will be addressed. If necessary, the EWMP Group agencies retain the option of installing low flow diversions sized to effectively eliminate discharges to the receiving water year-round dry weather days. Therefore, reasonable assurance of meeting the applicable RWLs was demonstrated in this EWMP through a qualitative assessment of the proposed BMPs and their overall approach of eliminating or substantially reducing MS4 discharges during dry weather.

| | | Implement | dition ¹) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------|------|------------|
| | | Non-Structural Public Retrofit | | | Distributed BM | | Estimated | | | |
| | | BMPs | Incentives + | Non- | Regional | Distributed | Implementation | Load | | Compliance |
| Pollutant | Date | (Non-Modeled) | Redevelopment | MS4 | BMPs | BMPs | Level | Reduction | TLR | (TLR Met)? |
| Analysis Re | gion DC-RI | B/MB | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc | 2032 (Final) | 5% | 9% | 6% | 39% | 20% | 14% SFR, MFR, | 79% | 76% | Yes |
| Copper | 2032 (Final) | 24%2 | 0% | 5% | 30% | 26% | COM, IND | 85% | 62% | Yes |
| | 2022 (Interim) | 2.1% | 1.5% | 0.7% | 0% | 4.1% | 3% SFR, MFR, COM, IND | 8.4% | 8.3% | Yes |
| Fecal coliform | 2027 (Interim) | 3.5% | 2.4% | 1.3% | 0% | 10% | 7% SFR, MFR, COM, IND | 17% | 17% | Yes |
| | 2032 (Final) | 5% | 3.2% | 1.8% | 45% | 20% | 14% SFR, MFR, COM, IND | 74% | 33% | Yes |
| Analysis Re | gion DC-To | orrance | | | | | | | | |
| Zinc | 2032 (Final) | 5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 75% per filter | Catch basin inlet filters | See note 3 | 76% | See note 3 |
| Copper | 2032 (Final) | 14%² | 0% | 0% | 0% | 75% per filter | Catch basin inlet filters | See note 3 | 62% | See note 3 |
| | 2022 (Interim) | 2.1% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 33% per filter | Catch basin inlet filters | See note 3 | 8.3% | See note 3 |
| Fecal coliform | 2027 (Interim) | 3.5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 33% per filter | Catch basin inlet filters | See note 3 | 17% | See note 3 |
| | 2032 (Final) | 5% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 33% per filter | Catch basin inlet filters | See note 3 | 33% | See note 3 |

Table ES-11. Dominguez Channel Watershed – Reasonable Assurance Analysis Results – Interim and Final Compliance

¹ The critical condition is TMDL year 1995 for fecal coliform, 11/30/2007 for copper, 2/5/2010 for lead, and 2/26/2006 for zinc.

² Load reduction attributable to copper brake pad phase-out, after accounting for other BMPs, up to 55%.

³ Load reduction sum cannot be estimated at this time. The individual load reduction for each inlet filter's drainage area is shown under the "Distributed BMPs" column. Initially, 200 of 643 catch basins are planned to be retrofitted in high priority catchments. Therefore, the total load reduction from inlet filters will be evaluated in the future through monitoring, and the BMPs will be modified through the adaptive management process, with additional filters installed as necessary to meet the TLRs by the compliance deadlines.

Schedule – Dominguez Channel

In order to meet the compliance deadlines for the water body-pollutant combinations based on load reduction projections in the Reasonable Assurance Analysis, the proposed structural BMPs within the Dominguez Channel Watershed would be implemented per the timeline provided in **Figure ES-5**.

| | Timeline | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Project Name | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 |
| Catch basin inlet filters in DC-Torrance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Green streets application in DC-RB/MB | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Powerline Easement Filtration* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Artesia Boulevard and Hawthorne Boulevard Filtration | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Figure ES-5 Project Sequencing in the Dominguez Channel Watershed

*Alternative project location has also been identified

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

Table ES-12 summarizes the existing and proposed implementation actions and dates within the Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel watersheds, for each identified water body-pollutant combination. The compliance schedule for Category 1 water body-pollutant combinations is consistent with the associated TMDLs. The compliance schedule for the Category 2 water body-pollutant combinations has been selected to achieve the proposed wet and dry weather bacteria milestones, with implementation actions not exceeding one year, in accordance with the Permit (Section ii(5)9B). As described in **Table ES-12**, the compliance schedule for the Category 3 water body-pollutant combinations will be dependent on the results of the CIMP.

| Category | Watershed | Pollutant(s) | Wet/Dry Weather | Date | Implementation Action | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1: Highest | Dominguez | Toxicity | Wet | Current | Interim: Comply with the interim water quality based effluent limitations as | | | | | |
| Priority | Channel | Total Copper | | | listed in the TMDL | | | | | |
| | | Total Lead | | March 2032 | Final: Comply with the final water quality-based effluent limitations as listed in the TMDL | | | | | |
| | Santa Monica Bay | Bacteria | Dry | N/A | Final compliance in effect and attained through diversions and non-structural BMPs. | | | | | |
| | | | Wet | July 2018 | Interim: 50% single sample ED reduction | | | | | |
| | | | | July 2021 | Final: Geometric Mean [GM] targets met Final: Single sample AED targets met | | | | | |
| | | Trash/Debris | N/A | March 2016 | Interim: 20% load reduction met through implementation of trash excluders | | | | | |
| | | | | March 2017 | Interim: 40% load reduction met through implementation of trash excluders | | | | | |
| | | | | March 2018 | Interim: 60% load reduction met through implementation of trash excluders | | | | | |
| | | | | March 2019 | Interim: 80% load reduction met through implementation of trash excluders | | | | | |
| | | | | March 2020 | Final: 100% load reduction met through implementation of trash excluders | | | | | |
| | | DDTs | N/A | N/A | Since the TMDL effectively implements an anti-degradation approach (i.e., historic low MS4 concentrations or loads must be kept the same or lower), | | | | | |
| | | | | N/A | and the Beach Cities EWMP Agencies are currently presumed to be achieving | | | | | |
| | | PCBs | N/A | | the WLAs (thus negating the need for Reasonable Assurance Analysis), no compliance schedule is proposed. | | | | | |
| 2: High | Dominguez | Bacteria | Dry | December 2023 | Interim: 50% load reduction | | | | | |
| Priority | Channel | | | December 2025 | Final: 100% compliance may be demonstrated by the Permittee in one of | | | | | |
| | | | | | three ways: | | | | | |
| | | | | | 1. Meeting the allowed exceedance days (5 days during the dry weather period): or | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2. Meet the allowed exceedance percentage (1.6% during a dry weather | | | | | |
| | | | | | period) within the total drainage area served by the MS4. | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3. Diversions are in place such that they are consistently operational, | | | | | |
| | | | | | well maintained, and sized to effectively eliminate discharges to the | | | | | |
| | | | 147 - L | December 2016 | receiving water year-round dry weather days. | | | | | |
| | | | wet | December 2016 | enhancements implemented over the past year | | | | | |
| | | | | December 2017 | Provide documentation supporting MCM enhancements implemented over | | | | | |

Table ES-12. Compliance Schedule for the Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel Watersheds

| | | | Wet/Dry | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--------------|---------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Watershed | Pollutant(s) | Weather | Date | Implementation Action | | | | |
| | | | | | the past year | | | | |
| | | | | December 2018 | Identify planned green streets locations to treat runoff from 3% of SFR, MFR, | | | | |
| | | | | D 1 0010 | COM, and IND land uses in cities of Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach. | | | | |
| | | | | December 2019 | Lity Louncil approval of Plans & Specifications for green streets to treat | | | | |
| | | | | | runoii from 3% of SFR, MFR, COM, and IND fand uses in cities of Redondo | | | | |
| | | | | | the DC-Torrance analysis region | | | | |
| | | | | December 2020 | Develop concept reports for regional BMPs in the cities of Redondo Reach and | | | | |
| | | | | December 2020 | Manhattan Beach, Begin construction on green streets to treat runoff from | | | | |
| | | | | | 3% of SFR, MFR, COM, and IND land uses in cities of Redondo Beach and | | | | |
| | | | | | Manhattan Beach. | | | | |
| | | | | December 2021 | Submit grant application for any one of the proposed regional projects in the | | | | |
| | | | | | cities of Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach. | | | | |
| | | | | December 2022 | Interim Milestone: 25% of target load reduction | | | | |
| | | | | December 2023 | Identify planned green streets locations to treat runoff from an additional 4% | | | | |
| | | | | | (7% total) of SFR, MFR, COM, and IND land uses in cities of Redondo Beach | | | | |
| | | | | | and Manhattan Beach. | | | | |
| | | | | December 2024 | Begin construction on planned green streets to treat runoff from an | | | | |
| | | | | | additional 4% (7% total) of SFR, MFR, COM, and IND land uses in cities of Redende Reach and Manhattan Reach. Continue installation of catch basin | | | | |
| | | | | | inlet filters in the DC-Torrance analysis region | | | | |
| | | | | December 2025 | Release Request for Proposals for regional BMP designs in Redondo Beach | | | | |
| | | | | December 2020 | and/or Manhattan Beach | | | | |
| | | | | December 2026 | Complete construction on planned green streets to treat runoff from an | | | | |
| | | | | | additional 4% (7% total) of SFR, MFR, COM, and IND land uses in cities of | | | | |
| | | | | | Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach. | | | | |
| | | | | December 2027 | Interim Milestone: 50% of target load reduction | | | | |
| | | | | December 2028 | Produce regional BMP design reports; identify locations for green streets | | | | |
| | | | | | implementation to treat runoff from an additional 7% (14% total) of SFR, | | | | |
| | | | | | MFK, COM, and IND land uses in the cities of Redondo Beach and Manhattan | | | | |
| | | | | December 2020 | Deach. Pagin regional DMD normitting process for project in Dedarde Deach or | | | | |
| | | | | December 2029 | Manhattan Beach | | | | |
| | | | | December 2030 | Begin construction on planned green streets to treat runoff from an | | | | |
| | | | | | additional 7% (14% total) of SFR, MFR, COM, and IND land uses in the cities | | | | |

| Category | Watershed | Pollutant(s) | Wet/Dry Weather | Date | Implementation Action |
|----------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | of Redondo Beach and Manhattan Beach. |
| | | | | December 2031 | Begin regional BMP construction of project in Redondo Beach or Manhattan |
| | | | | | Beach. |
| | | | | December 2032 ¹ | Final Milestone: 100% compliance may be demonstrated by the Permittee in one of three ways: |
| | | | | | 1. Meeting the allowed exceedance days (10 days during a wet weather period, plus high flow suspension days) |
| | | | | | 2. Meeting the target load reduction (33%); or |
| | | | | | 3. Meeting the allowed exceedance percentage (19% during a wet weather period) within the total drainage area served by the MS4. |
| 3. | Dominguez | Cvanide | N/A | N/A | As required by the Permit monitoring for these pollutants will occur under |
| Medium | Channel | pH | | | the CIMP. If monitoring data suggest that the Beach Cities Agencies' MS4s |
| Priority | | Selenium | | | may cause or contribute to exceedances of these pollutants in the receiving |
| C C | | Mercury | | | water, ² these contributions will be addressed through modifications to the |
| | | Cadmium | | | EWMP as a part of the adaptive management process, as described in Permit |
| | | | | | section VI.C.2.a.iii. |

¹ The final compliance date for wet weather bacteria was selected to be consistent with the Dominguez Channel and Greater Los Angeles and Long Beach Harbor waters Toxic Pollutants TMDL (RWQCB, 2011).

² This will be assumed to be the case if monitoring data show that outfall concentrations and receiving water concentrations are in excess of the applicable water quality criteria for the same monitoring event.

PLANNING LEVEL COST OPINION

Planning-level cost opinions associated with implementation of the proposed structural best management practices within the Beach Cities WMG area are provided based on results from the Reasonable Assurance Analysis for the Beach Cities EWMP. Cost opinions are presented as an aid for decision makers, and contain considerable uncertainties. Given the iterative and adaptive nature of the EWMP and the many variables associated with the projects, the budget forecasts are order-of magnitude opinions, and are subject to change based on site-specific BMP feasibility assessment findings, preliminary and final BMP designs and landscaping, BMP effectiveness assessments, results of outfall and receiving water monitoring, and special studies such as those that might result in site specific objectives which could modify water quality objectives or TMDL Waste Load Allocations for a specific water body-pollutant combination.

EWMP planning-level cost opinions were developed for the proposed structural BMPs in addition to programmatic costs. Costs approximated for structural BMPs include "hard" costs for tangible assets and "soft" costs, which include considerations such as design and permitting. **Table ES-13** summarizes the total 20-year life-cycle costs for each proposed structural BMP, which are composed of the cost to construct or implement each structural BMP plus the associated annual O&M costs over 20 years. In order to account for possible variations in BMP design, BMP configurations, and site-specific constraints, as well as for uncertainties in available BMP unit costs from literature or estimated BMP unit costs, a range of costs is presented. These cost opinions are provided for information only, and it is recognized that should monitoring information demonstrate that alternative, less-expensive BMPs are equally (or superior) to those described herein, that these alternative BMPs may be implemented at the discretion of the WMG agencies. Not included in these costs are the annual monitoring costs for implementing the CIMP or the costs associated with implementing baseline and enhanced MCMs.

| | | | | Construe | ction Cost | | | Total 20-Year Life- | | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---|----------|------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|--------|--|
| Wa | atershed/ | | | Ra | nge | Annual O | &M Range | Cycle ¹ Range | | |
| Anal | ysis Region | Location of BMP | Project Name | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High | |
| | CMD F 02 | Manhattan Beach | Manhattan Beach Infiltration Trench ² | \$3.7M | \$6.8M | \$140K | \$190K | \$6.5M | \$11M | |
| 7 | SMB-5-02, | Manhattan Beach | Distributed Green Streets | \$2.4M | \$6.5M | \$110K | \$220K | \$4.6M | \$11M | |
| | Alter hative 1 | SMB-5-02 Alterna | tive 1 Combined Costs | \$6.1M | \$13M | \$250K | \$410K | \$11M | \$22M | |
| Bay | | Hermosa Beach | Hermosa Beach Infiltration Trench | \$500K | \$1.1M | \$18K | \$32K | \$860K | \$1.7M | |
| a E ed | | Hermosa Beach | Hermosa Beach Greenbelt Infiltration ² | \$5.5M | \$8.0M | \$81K | \$90K | \$7.1M | \$9.8M | |
| she | SMB-6-01 | Redondo Beach | Park #3 | \$1.9M | \$3.0M | \$28K | \$33K | \$2.5M | \$3.7M | |
| anta Moi Water | | Hermosa Beach | Distributed Green Streets | \$7.0M | \$19M | \$310K | \$640K | \$13M | \$32M | |
| | | SMB-6-01 Combin | ed Costs | \$15M | \$31M | \$440K | \$800K | \$23M | \$47M | |
| | All Analysis Regions | Hermosa Beach | Trash exclusion devices | \$160K | \$430K | \$50K | \$64K | \$1.1M | \$1.7M | |
| ŝ | | Redondo Beach | Trash exclusion devices | \$1.1M | \$3.1M | \$360K | \$460K | \$8.3M | \$12M | |
| | | Manhattan Beach | Trash exclusion devices | \$590K | \$1.7M | \$210K | \$270K | \$4.8M | \$7.1M | |
| Dominguez Santa Monica Bay Channel Watershed | Combined Costs in Santa Monica Bay Watershed | | | | \$50M | \$1.3M | \$2.0M | \$49M | \$90M | |
| | | Redondo Beach | Powerline Easement Infiltration ² | \$11M | \$16M | \$160K | \$180K | \$14M | \$20M | |
| | | Redondo Beach | Artesia Blvd Infiltration | \$2.0M | \$3.1M | \$30K | \$35K | \$2.6M | \$3.8M | |
| nguez nnel rshed | DC-RB/MB | Redondo Beach + Manhattan Beach | Distributed Green Streets | \$7.4M | \$20M | \$330K | \$670K | \$14M | \$33M | |
| nir 1ar ter | | DC-RB/MB Combi | ned Costs | \$20M | \$39M | \$520K | \$890K | \$31M | \$57M | |
| Va CI | DC Tormongo | Torrance | Catch basin inlet filters | \$240K | \$360k | \$130K | \$170k | \$2.8M | \$3.7M | |
| | DC-Torrance | DC-Torrance Com | bined Costs | \$240K | \$360k | \$130K | \$170k | \$2.8M | \$3.7M | |
| | Combined Cos | ts in Dominguez Cl | nannel Watershed | \$20M | \$39M | \$650K | \$1.1M | \$33M | \$61M | |
| Combined Costs of All Proposed Structural BMPs | | | \$43M | \$89M | \$2.0M | \$3.1M | \$82M | \$150M | | |

Table ES-13. Cost Opinion for Proposed Structural BMPs in Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel Watersheds

M = Million dollars, K = Thousand dollars

¹ Life-cycle costs include construction costs and 20 years of annual O&M (in 2015 dollars) and are not discounted.

² Alternative project locations have also been identified, but are not included in combined cost opinion

FINANCING DISCUSSION

The availability of funds will be critical for the implementation of the EWMP. Section 7 of this EWMP provides an overview of potentially available funding sources to pay for programs proposed in the EWMP. Examples show that a multi-pronged funding strategy using multiple sources rather than rely on a single storm drain fee may be the most prudent approach. A list of potential fees and charges has been developed, which will be further considered and explored by the Beach Cities WMG in the future:

- Vehicle license and vehicle rental fees
- Solid waste management surcharge
- Water service surcharge (under AB850)
- Property assessment
- Fines (not a stable source, it is an exemption under Proposition 26)
- Financial subsidy to encourage private sector participation to develop local and district projects
- One time capital recovery fee
- Dedicated storm drain fee
- Taxes (e.g. fuel taxes)
- A TMDL fee / tax could be developed based on the pollutant contribution from polluters / activities

In addition, Public Private Partnerships and alternative delivery and financing methods may facilitate and streamline implementation, and could result in program cost reductions.

From the analysis of potential costs in this section as summarized in **Table ES-13**, it is clear that projected costs of implementing the EWMP are substantial and orders of magnitude higher than have previously been expended by the agencies under the previous MS4 Permit. Thus availability of funds will be critical for the implementation of the EWMP. Currently, the Beach Cities do not have sufficient funds or dedicated funding streams to construct and maintain the projects proposed in this EWMP.

The Beach Cities agencies are working with the Los Angeles County Division of the League of California Cities and the California Contract Cities Association to partner with other affected agencies to collectively influence State policies, pursue changes in legislation and lobby high level officials for additional stormwater funding. Working together with the other cities will increase effectiveness, communication, collaboration, and reduce redundant efforts. The LACFCD will also work with the Beach Cities in their efforts to address source controls; assess, develop, and pursue funding for structural BMPs, and promote the use of water reuse and infiltration. As regional project scopes are further refined, the LACFCD will determine on a case-by-case basis their contribution to the projects.

In addition to working with other affected cities on a regional level, the Beach Cities WMG individually and collaboratively are committed to pursue funding sources at a local level including but not limited to:

- *Grants* Collaboration and coordination between the Beach Cities will be important to increase accessible grant funding opportunities for stormwater projects, however alternative funding sources will also be needed to provide stable O&M revenues since grants typically do not provide for O&M.
- *Interagency Partnerships* Interagency partnerships, like the Beach Cities WMG, can allow agencies to leverage local funding resources to make cost intensive projects possible.
- *Local Bond Issuance* Two types of local bonds can be utilized. General Obligation (GO) bonds are issued by local governments and repaid through a property tax surcharge. Revenue bonds are tax-exempt securitized bonds repaid through utility rate increases charged directly to customers.
- *Local Stormwater Assessments* Stormwater charges are potentially the most critical local funding source to finance stormwater programs. These charges include stormwater fees and taxes.
- *Direct Subsidies* Direct financial subsidies to local projects do not contribute to cash revenue generation. However, subsidies can create a financial incentive to encourage local participation without providing the full cost for project implementation. Such an approach can increase financial efficiency by leveraging financial input from communities.

These potential sources of funding are discussed in greater detail in Section 7.