

From: noreply@granicusideas.com
To: [City Clerk](#); [Ann Yang](#); [City Council](#); [Suja Lowenthal](#); [Joseph SanClemente](#)
Subject: New eComment for City Council Adjourned Regular Hybrid Meeting (FY 2022-2023 Capital Improvement Program Study Session).
Date: Thursday, April 21, 2022 2:24:14 PM

[SpeakUp](#)

New eComment for City Council Adjourned Regular Hybrid Meeting (FY 2022-2023 Capital Improvement Program Study Session).

Anthony Higgins submitted a new eComment.

Meeting: City Council Adjourned Regular Hybrid Meeting (FY 2022-2023 Capital Improvement Program Study Session).

Item: a. REPORT 22-0226 FISCAL YEAR 2022-23 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM STUDY SESSION (Public Works Director Joe SanClemente)

eComment: I am troubled that I could not find a reference to the lane reduction project nor the outdoor dining project. Clearly the CEQA consulting budget will far and away exceed the 60k budget to date. Isn't the consulting and engineering required to do the traffic, noise, safety and near roadway pollution measurements and analysis capitalized? This project budget should include at a minimum if any portion is to be capitalized (1) staff and management manpower costs through through to completion. (2) Projected CEQA consultant costs for all 3 projects including specific consultant deliverables through to completion (3) Projected Speciality consulting costs through to completion, including the costs to measure and assess the cumulative significant impacts of past discretionary projects on the current environment, the incremental impact of these 3 projects on vulnerable neighborhoods as well as an evaluation of these impacts for consistency with 2016 plan Hermosa. (3a) cost to measure and assess the impact of vehicle and truck traffic on affected roadways, the impact of changes in traffic patterns or intersection queueing on quality of life of directly or indirectly impacted neighborhoods. Note: Direct and Indirect impacts have a specific meaning in the CEQA statute (3b) costs to measure and assess the impact and of traffic noise on the most likely impacted road segments and the cost to fully assess, using the best science available, the impact of health, safety and quality of life as well as the consistency with 2016 Plan Hermosa. (3c) Costs to measure and assess impact of Near Roadway Pollution including particulate matter (e.g. <2.5 micron diesel particulate matter, gasoline exhaust soot and carcinogenic gasses like toluene for those heavy traffic road segments that closest to densely packed neighborhoods, and assess the impact on health, safety and quality of life. (3d) costs to measure & assess the impacts vibration for those neighborhoods particularly susceptible to any changes in truck patterns and vulnerable to truck

vibration because homes are close to defacto truck routes. also budget to assess trucvk vibration impact on health and nearby structures like chimney and compare observed vibration with the 2016 Plan Hermosa vibration guidelines (4) Revenue gains and losses (e.g encroachment fees, parking meters 5 A contingency budget covering likely mitigations and ongoing mitigation monitoring in terms of staff or consultant hours The council should also ask how many years before the incremental increase in revenue from businesses associated to these projects pays the city back in full. Is not someportion of this capitalized?

[View and Analyze eComments](#)

This email was sent from <https://granicusideas.com>.

[Unsubscribe](#) from future mailings