



Dan Seaman

Oppose

05-21-
2024
08:48 am

Why does the applicant keep lying about maxing out at 77 children when the building design clearly is meant for 98 kids? A simple solution is: - Dedicate the lot off of PCH for loading and unloading only;

- Hammer out an agreement with the property owner of Sainte Rock and O'Kells to handle any drop-off and pick-up overflows in their parking lot on 2nd Street - the owner seems amenable to this agreement;
- Provide at least 14 parking spaces on-site on the 2nd Street lot so that at least half of their hardworking teachers and staff do not have to lug up the steep hill after a long day to get to their cars. I interpret HBMC 17.40.110(A) to provide at least one parking space for every seven children to mean that some parking exists on-site for staff and teachers to help alleviate any constraints with street or off-site parking; and
- Reduce playground size to accommodate the required parking if space is a constraint, which I gather may be the reason for intentionally misrepresenting full enrollment capacity.

Please deny this current project for the CUP and have the applicant try again.